From: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 4:40:49 PM

To: External Stakeholder Outreach Group [External Stakeholder Outreach Group@epa.gov]; McCabe, Janet

[McCabe.Janet@epa.gov]; Utech, Dan [Utech.Dan@epa.gov]

**Subject**: Dobbs Initial Message Guidance

FYI

From: Hill, Matt D. EOP/WHO Ex. 6-Personal Privacy (PP)

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 12:32 PM **Subject:** RE: Dobbs Cabinet/Agency Chain

Initial message guidance below – we will have more after the President's remarks.

TOPLINE: This fight is not over. Congress must act and the American people must use their voice.

Today, the Supreme Court expressly took away a Constitutional right from the American people. New extreme state laws will jeopardize the health of millions of women without exceptions for rape or incest.

And, as Justice Thomas specifically referenced today, this decision also puts at risk the right to marry who you love or make decisions about your own health. The President and his administration will use its lawful powers to protect women's right to travel and access medication.

TOPLINE: This fight is not over. Congress must act and the American people must use their voice.

Today, the Supreme Court expressly took away a Constitutional right from the American people. New extreme state laws will jeopardize the health of millions of women without exceptions for rape or incest.

And, as Justice Thomas specifically referenced today, this decision also puts at risk the right to marry who you love or make decisions about your own health. The President and his administration will use its lawful powers to protect women's right to travel and access medication.

Today –the Supreme Court of the United States expressly took away a Constitutional right from the American people that it had already recognized. It's never been done. And as a result, the health and lives of women are now at risk. The Administration will use its lawful power, but Congress must act. And the American people can have the final word and act with their vote.

For 50 years, Roe v. Wade has been the law of the land. It was a constitutional principle upheld by Justices appointed by Democratic and Republican Presidents. It was a was a 7-2 decision written by a Justice appointed by *Republican* President Richard Nixon. It was a decision with broad national consensus that most Americans of all faiths and backgrounds found acceptable.

Today's decision is the culmination of a deliberate effort over decades to upset the balance of our law.

New state laws have been triggered with abortion bans jeopardizing the health of millions of women some without exceptions. These laws are so extreme that women will have no choice to protect their own life, women and girls will be forced to bear their rapist's child, doctors will be criminalized for fulfilling their duty of care. And, as is too often the case, poorer women are hit the hardest.

It's cruel. It's extreme.

Roe was the basis of the fundamental right to privacy and has served as the basis for so many more rights that we have come to take for granted and are ingrained in the fabric of this country. The right to make the best decisions for your health.

The right to use birth control. The right to marry the person you love.

### Today's decision puts all of those rights at risk.

Today, the President and the Attorney-General made clear that the administration will defend a woman's bedrock right to travel from her home to a state that allows abortion. Women must remain free to travel safely to another state to seek the care they need. And, if any elected official tries to interfere with women exercising this basic right, the Administration will do everything in its power to fight that deeply un-American attack.

The President also said that administration will also protect women's access to medications that are approved by the Food and Drug Administration, like contraception and mifepristone, which the FDA approved over twenty years ago to safely end an early pregnancy of up to ten weeks

and is commonly used to treat miscarriages. The President directed the Department of Health and Human Services to ensure these critical medicines are available to the fullest extent possible.

From: Carroll, Timothy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7C1EF325560047F3A017036A7486B8F2-CARROLL, TI]

**Sent**: 6/27/2022 4:25:45 PM

To: Kevin Bogardus [kbogardus@eenews.net]; Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]; EPA Press Office

[Press@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: EPA response to Supreme Court decision Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization

Kevin, apologies for the delay here. EPA is awaiting additional guidance on potential impacts to the federal workforce.

Let us know if you have any other questions, and we can track those down. Thanks!

Tim Carroll (he/him)
Office of Public Affairs
Environmental Protection Agency
202-384-7510 (mobile)
Twitter: @EPAPressOffice

From: Kevin Bogardus < kbogardus@eenews.net>

Sent: Monday, June 27, 2022 9:30 AM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>; Harney, Alethea < Harney.Alethea@epa.gov>; Carroll, Timothy

<Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov>; EPA Press Office <Press@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: EPA response to Supreme Court decision Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization

Hey everyone, Kevin Bogardus with E&E News here. Please let me know if you plan to respond to the below. Again, I'm off today so please email me your comment. If you do have one, I will make sure it gets into the story. My deadline is noon ET today. Thank you for your help and talk to you later!

### **Kevin Bogardus**

**E&E News Reporter** 

kbogardus@eenews.net

202-446-0401 (o)

202-247-7844 (c)

202-737-5299 (f)

Follow me @KevinBogardus

### **E&E News**

1000 Wilson Boulevard, 8th Floor, Arlington, VA 22209

### www.eenews.net

Greenwire, ClimateWire, EnergyWire, E&E Daily, E&ENews PM

From: Kevin Bogardus < kbogardus@eenews.net >

Sent: Sunday, June 26, 2022 5:25 PM

**To:** Hamilton, Lindsay < <a href="mailton.Lindsay@epa.gov">Harney, Alethea < <a href="mailton.Harney.Alethea@epa.gov">Harney, Alethea@epa.gov</a>; Carroll, Timothy <a href="mailton.Lindsay@epa.gov">Carroll, Timothy@epa.gov</a>; Press <a href="mailton.Lindsay@epa.gov">Press <a href="ma

Subject: EPA response to Supreme Court decision Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization

Hey everyone,

It's Kevin Bogardus with E&E News. I hope all is well.

I'm working on a story about EPA's response to the Supreme Court decision *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, which overturned *Roe v. Wade* and is leading to several states to ban abortion, and what it means for EPA employees. I obtained Administrator Regan's email about this decision sent to EPA employees on Friday, which I will quote from and link to in my story (please see epa-email-about-scotus.pdf).

I have a question about this, which is:

-- In the wake of the Supreme Court decision *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, will EPA now pay for EPA employees' travel to have access to abortion and reproductive healthcare services?

I ask because other federal agencies have said they would do so (please see the following is from the Department of State's statement <a href="https://www.state.gov/todays-supreme-court-decision/">https://www.state.gov/todays-supreme-court-decision/</a>):

"And this Department will do everything possible to ensure that all our employees have access to reproductive health services, wherever they live."

Please get back to me as soon as possible. My deadline is noon ET today but the sooner you get back to me, the more it helps my reporting. Also, I'm actually off today, so please email me your response and I will make sure it is in the story. Thank you for your help and stay safe, stay healthy!

### **Kevin Bogardus**

**E&E News Reporter** 

kbogardus@eenews.net

202-446-0401 (o)

202-247-7844 (c)

202-737-5299 (f)

### **E&E News**

1000 Wilson Boulevard, 8th Floor, Arlington, VA 22209

### www.eenews.net

Greenwire, ClimateWire, EnergyWire, E&E Daily, E&ENews PM

McKenzie Wilson [mckenzie@dataforprogress.org] From:

6/24/2022 2:24:28 PM Sent:

To: Carroll, Timothy [Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov]

Reproductive Rights Message Testing Rapid Response + Polling Subject: Attachments: Reproductive Rights SCOTUS Message Testing Memo.pdf

### Good morning,

In light of this morning's news, we wanted to share recent message testing and polling on abortion and reproductive rights.

#### **POLLING:**

By a +28-point margin, voters believe that the Supreme Court should uphold Roe v. Wade. This includes Democrats by a +67-point margin and Independents by a +35-point margin.

By a +16-point margin, voters agree with the statement that "overturning Roe v. Wade would set a dangerous example for overturning other decisions that guarantee our rights to live and love how we choose." This includes a majority of Independents (58%) and women (57%).

Voters support Congress passing the Women's Health Protection Act by a +32-point margin, including Democrats by a +74-point margin, Independents by a +49-point margin, and a third of Republicans.

- Voters state that they would prefer a candidate who supports keeping Roe v. Wade in place over one who supports overturning it by a +24-point margin. This includes Democrats by a +64-point margin and Independents by a +36-point margin.

#### MESSAGE TESTING:

- From May 3 to May 6, Data for Progress and Blue Rose conducted research of public messages around reproductive rights and the leaked draft Supreme Court decision on Roe v. Wade. We tested 68 unique public statements from President Biden, former President Obama, Vice President Harris, Sen. Schumer, Sen. Warren, Sen. Markey, Speaker Pelosi, and other influential figures and found that the best testing public statements on reproductive rights had the following themes:

  - Bodily Autonomy: Messages that emphasized that women should have the right to make their own decisions about their own bodies performed well with voters. The best

testing statement this week was from Health and Human Services Secretary Xavier Becerra, who said that "patients should make their own decisions about their own bodies. That's their right. Democrats strongly believe in protecting and promoting access to health care — and that includes safe and legal abortion care."

- Government interference: Statements that framed the issue of overturning *Roe v. Wade* and restricting abortion access as one of government interference in our personal privacy and freedoms also performed well, like this message from former President Barack Obama: "The consequences of this decision would be a blow not just to women, but to all of us who believe in a free society, there are limits to how much the government can encroach on our personal lives."
- Inequitable impact: Talking points that outlined that the consequences of overturning Roe v. Wade would have inequitable consequences on different people throughout the country were also effective. The second best testing statement overall came from Senator Elizabeth Warren, who said that "this decision will fall on the poorest women in our country; women who have been abused, who are victims of incest; those who have been raped; mothers who are already struggling. We cannot allow this to happen we are not going back."
- **Precedent:** Messages that focused on the long-standing precedent set in *Roe v. Wade* and the importance of not overturning settled precedent had slightly more mixed results, but overall resonated well with voters, like this statement from Congresswoman Pramila Jayapal: "The purpose of our Supreme Court is to uphold freedom and respect precedent. Not to take away a fundamental freedom for women to make choices about their own bodies and futures."
- The **least effective** public statements on reproductive rights had the following themes:
  - Expanding the Supreme Court: A statement that called for expanding the court with new justices to "stop this horrifying injustice in its tracks" was the worst performing message with voters.
  - Attacking Republican lawmakers: A message that hit Republicans for plotting to
    overturn Roe v. Wade for a long time despite the will of the people also did not perform
    well, like this message: "Republicans have been working toward this day for decades.
    They have been out there plotting, carefully cultivating these Supreme Court justices so
    they could have a majority on the bench who would accomplish something that the
    majority of Americans do not want."

• Blaming the filibuster: Messages that specifically called out individual Senators for blocking legislation that would codify Roe also did not resonate with voters, such as in statements like "Democrats in Congress are trying to secure our rights, but Sen. Manchin is blocking Congress from codifying Roe by refusing to abolish the filibuster and allow legislation on abortion to pass."

The 8 most effective messages we tested are (from most effective to least effective):

Messages Pct Rank

# Autonomy + healthcare (Sec. Becerra): Patients should make their own decisions about their own bodies. That's their right. Democrats strongly believe in protecting and promoting access to health care — and that includes safe and legal abortion care.

Poverty (Sen. Warren): This decision will fall on the poorest women in our country; women who have been abused, who are victims of incest; those who have been raped; mothers who are already struggling. We cannot allow this to happen — we are not going back.

Freedom (Rep. Jayapal): The purpose of our Supreme Court is to uphold freedom and respect precedent. Not to take away a fundamental freedom for women to make choices about their own bodies and futures.

### Fundamental right (President Biden):

Democrats believe that a woman's right to choose is fundamental, Roe has been the law of the land for almost fifty years, and basic fairness and the stability of our law demand that it not be overturned.

Government overreach (Barack Obama): The consequences of this decision would be a blow not just to women, but to all of us who believe in a free society, there are limits to how much the government can encroach on our personal lives.

### Autonomy + government (Planned


**Parenthood):** We are in a fight to make sure everyone has the power to control their own bodies, lives, and futures. We deserve elected officials — at all levels of government — and judges who believe that as well.

Freedom + constitution (Barack Obama):

Upholding Roe is crucial because it recognizes that the freedom enshrined in the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution requires all of us to enjoy a sphere of our lives that isn't subject to meddling from the state.

86%	
81%	
80%	
70%	
69%	
65%	
60%	

Human rights (Hillary Clinton): Women's rights are human rights and human rights are women's rights. An extreme wing of the Supreme Court may seek to deny that fundamental truth and they may try to force their views on a country where 70% of people want Roe upheld, but we will not be quiet and we will not go back.



McKamia Wilson (sha/har/hars)

McKenzie Wilson (she/her/hers)
Communications Director, Data for Progress
mckenzie@dataforprogress.org | 773-715-0169 | @mckenzieawilson | calendly
www.dataforprogress.org

### THE DATA FOR PROGRESS

To: Interested Parties From: Data for Progress Date: June 24, 2022

Re: Message Testing on Reproductive Rights

From May 3 to May 6, Data for Progress and Blue Rose conducted research of public messages around reproductive rights and the leaked draft Supreme Court decision on *Roe v. Wade*. We tested 68 unique public statements from President Biden, former President Obama, Vice President Harris, Sen. Schumer, Sen. Warren, Sen. Markey, Speaker Pelosi, and other influential figures and found that the **best testing public statements on reproductive rights** had the following themes:

- Bodily Autonomy: Messages that emphasized that women should have the right to make
  their own decisions about their own bodies performed well with voters. The best testing
  statement this week was from Health and Human Services Secretary Xavier Becerra, who
  said that "patients should make their own decisions about their own bodies. That's their
  right. Democrats strongly believe in protecting and promoting access to health care —
  and that includes safe and legal abortion care."
- Government interference: Statements that framed the issue of overturning *Roe v. Wade* and restricting abortion access as one of government interference in our personal privacy and freedoms also performed well, like this message from former President Barack Obama: "The consequences of this decision would be a blow not just to women, but to all of us who believe in a free society, there are limits to how much the government can encroach on our personal lives."
- Inequitable impact: Talking points that outlined that the consequences of overturning *Roe v. Wade* would have inequitable consequences on different people throughout the country were also effective. The second best testing statement overall came from Senator Elizabeth Warren, who said that "this decision will fall on the poorest women in our country; women who have been abused, who are victims of incest; those who have been raped; mothers who are already struggling. We cannot allow this to happen we are not going back."
- **Precedent**: Messages that focused on the long-standing precedent set in *Roe v. Wade* and the importance of not overturning settled precedent had slightly more mixed results, but overall resonated well with voters, like this statement from Congresswoman Pramila Jayapal: "The purpose of our Supreme Court is to uphold freedom and respect precedent.

### THE DATA FOR **PROGRESS**

Not to take away a fundamental freedom for women to make choices about their own bodies and futures."

The least effective public statements on reproductive rights had the following themes:

- Expanding the Supreme Court: A statement that called for expanding the court with new justices to "stop this horrifying injustice in its tracks" was the worst performing message with voters.
- Attacking Republican lawmakers: A message that hit Republicans for plotting to overturn *Roe v. Wade* for a long time despite the will of the people also did not perform well, like this message: "Republicans have been working toward this day for decades. They have been out there plotting, carefully cultivating these Supreme Court justices so they could have a majority on the bench who would accomplish something that the majority of Americans do not want."
- Blaming the filibuster: Messages that specifically called out individual Senators for blocking legislation that would codify Roe also did not resonate with voters, such as in statements like "Democrats in Congress are trying to secure our rights, but Sen. Manchin is blocking Congress from codifying Roe by refusing to abolish the filibuster and allow legislation on abortion to pass."

Additionally, we tested unique public statements on reproductive rights attacks from Republicans and found that:

- The strongest Republican messages built on the following themes:
  - Attacking Democrats on other issues: Republican messages that pivoted from abortion to other weak points for Democrats, like inflation and energy dependence, performed well with voters.
  - "Protecting" the unborn: Statements from Republicans that focused on humanizing the unborn and framing the issue as one of defending vulnerable lives also resonated with voters.
  - Adoption: Republican messages that focused on supporting would-be mothers to choose adoption over abortion also performed well.
- The least effective Republican statements focused on:

- Undermining the Supreme Court: Republican talking points that focused on the draft opinion leak as an attack on the court's independence, or a strategic maneuver, did not really resonate with voters, and specifically underperformed with swing voters.
- Disabilities: Republican statements that framed legal abortion as a threat to unborn children with disabilities did not perform well with voters.
- Religion: Republican messages that used religious language about the sanctity of life to argue against abortion also did not resonate with voters.

## <u>Democrat messages we tested this week, included (in rank order of most effective to least effective in moving voters):</u>

Percent rank is relative to 1500+ messages tested this cycle.

Messages	Rank
Autonomy + healthcare (Sec. Becerra): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. Patients should make their own decisions about their own bodies. That's their right. Democrats strongly believe in protecting and promoting access to health care — and that includes safe and legal abortion care.	86%
<b>Poverty (Sen. Warren)</b> : The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. This decision will fall on the poorest women in our country; women who have been abused, who are victims of incest; those who have been raped; mothers who are already struggling. We cannot allow this to happen — we are not going back.	81%
<b>Freedom</b> ( <b>Rep. Jayapal</b> ): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. The purpose of our Supreme Court is to uphold freedom and respect precedent. Not to take away a fundamental freedom for women to make choices about their own bodies and futures.	80%
Fundamental right (President Biden): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. Democrats believe that a woman's right to choose is fundamental, Roe has been the law of the land for almost fifty years, and basic fairness and the stability of our law demand that it not be overturned.	70%
Government overreach (Barack Obama): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. The consequences of this decision would be a blow not just to women, but to all of us who believe in a free society, there are limits to how much the government can encroach on our personal lives.	69%
Autonomy + government (Planned Parenthood): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down	65%

Pct

Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. We are in a fight to make sure everyone has the power to control their own bodies, lives, and futures. We deserve elected officials — at all levels of government — and judges who believe that as well.

**Freedom + constitution (Barack Obama):** The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leak. Upholding Roe is crucial because it recognizes that the freedom enshrined in the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution requires all of us to enjoy a sphere of our lives that isn't subject to meddling from the state.

**Human rights (Hillary Clinton)**: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. Women's rights are human rights and human rights are women's rights. An extreme wing of the Supreme Court may seek to deny that fundamental truth and they may try to force their views on a country where 70% of people want Roe upheld, but we will not be quiet and we will not go back.

**Privacy (Vice President Harris)**: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a draft majority opinion. The rights of all Americans are at risk. If the right to privacy is weakened, every person could face a future in which the government can potentially interfere in the personal decisions you make about your life. This is the time to fight for our country with everything we have.

**Democracy**: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. This is NOT actually about abortion at all. It's about democracy. The courts should not be able to make a unilateral decision that goes against the will of the people.

**Government overreach**: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade, according to a draft majority opinion by Justice Samuel Alito, leaked to the press on May 2nd. Abortion is one of the hardest decisions a family has to make, and that the government should not intervene in that decision.

**Privacy** (**Rep. Ocasio-Cortez**): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion by Justice Samuel Alito. The court isn't just coming for abortion — they're coming for the right to privacy Roe rests on, which includes gay marriage and civil rights.

**Government overreach**: Republican-appointed Supreme Court justices have voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. The Republican party may preach about government overreach, but they are the ones overreaching by taking away a woman's right to make her own decisions regarding abortion.

**Abortion safety (Lt. Governor Fetterman)**: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. Democrats know that making abortions illegal will not stop them from happening — it will simply make them less safe. Democrats

60%

59%

58%

55%

54%

53%

51%

48%

refuse to allow this country to go back to a time in which women's healthcare is under attack.	
Human rights (Hillary Clinton): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. This decision is a direct assault on the dignity, rights, and lives of women, not to mention decades of settled law. It will kill and subjugate women even as a vast majority of Americans think abortion should be legal.	48%
Abortion restriction (Sen. Schumer and Rep. Pelosi): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion by Justice Samuel Alito. The Supreme Court is poised to inflict the greatest restriction of rights in the past fifty years – not just on women but on all Americans.	47%
Supreme Court reputation (Sen. Schumer and Rep. Pelosi): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked opinion. Conservative Justices have lied to the Senate, ripped up the Constitution and defiled both precedent and the court's reputation – at the expense of millions of women who could be stripped of their autonomy and the constitutional rights they've relied on for half a century.	45%
State bans (Planned Parenthood): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. Overturning Roe means 26 states could swiftly move to ban abortion — including 13 states with laws that could immediately go into effect. That means in half the country people would no longer have power over their own bodies and their own lives.	45%
Supreme Court precedent (Rep. Jayapal): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. The Roe v. Wade ruling was a 7-2 decision. The justices who voted to overturn it have no respect for precedent. No respect for women. No respect for voting rights. No respect for our democratic institutions.	43%
Supreme Court partisanship (Sen. Warren): An extremist Supreme Court is poised to overturn Roe v. Wade and impose its far-right, unpopular views on the entire country. It's time for the millions who support the Constitution and abortion rights to stand up and make their voices heard. We're not going back — not ever.	43%
Abortion access (Planned Parenthood): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. As a result, 36 million women — nearly half of the women of reproductive age (18-49) in the United States — plus people who can become pregnant, could soon lose abortion access.	42%
Midterm elections (Sen. Baldwin): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leak. The stakes could not be higher as we head into the midterm elections. Democrats are on the side of protecting women's freedoms. Republicans stand on the side of taking these freedoms away. Everyone deserves representatives who are going to fight to	
protect the freedom to access safe abortion care.	42%

<b>Polling (Sen. Warren)</b> : The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion by Justice Samuel Alito. 69% of people across this country, in red states and blue states, old people and young people, want Roe v. Wade to remain the law of the land.	42%
<b>Abortion rates (Barack Obama)</b> : The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. This decision is unlikely to significantly reduce abortions, which have been steadily going down over the past several decades thanks in large part to better access to contraception and education.	41%
Inequality (NARAL): Republican-appointed Supreme Court justices have voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leak. The people hurt by attacks on reproductive freedom are those who already face barriers to care—including women; people of color; those working to make ends meet; the LGBTQ+ community; immigrants; young people; rural communities; and people with disabilities.	41%
<b>Privacy</b> (Sen. Warnock): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade, according to a draft majority opinion written by Justice Samuel Alito, leaked to the press on May 2nd. The United States government should not come between a patient and their doctor.	40%
Supreme Court precedent: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. This is not just about constitutional rights. Overturning Roe will set a precedent for government-mandated pregnancies for women who are yet not ready to have a baby.	39%
Freedom (Barack Obama): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leak. Few, if any women make the decision to terminate a pregnancy casually — and people of goodwill across the political spectrum can hold different views on that subject. But Roe protects a sphere of our lives that isn't subject to meddling from the state.	36%
<b>Polling</b> : The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade, according to a draft majority opinion by Justice Samuel Alito, leaked to the press on May 2nd. Every state in the country opposes a federal ban on abortion by at least 70%, according to recent public opinion polls.	36%
Elected official responsibility (President Biden): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade, according to a draft majority opinion by Justice Samuel Alito, leaked to the press on May 2nd. It will fall on our nation's elected officials at all levels of government to protect a woman's right to choose.	34%
<b>Polling:</b> The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion written by Justice Samuel Alito. There is no state in the country in which a majority of voters support a ban on abortion, therefore it should not be implemented.	33%
Privacy (Sen. Murray): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a	33%

leaked draft majority opinion. If this happens, women will be forced to remain pregnant when they don't want to be. Extreme politicians will control patients' most personal decisions. And extreme Republicans will have eliminated a fundamental right an entire generation of women have known their whole lives. Constitutional rights: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. This won't end with abortion — the same legal precedent used to enshrine the right to abortion also ensured the right for contraception, same-sex marriage, privacy, and other individual autonomy that are not explicit in the Constitution. This is a dangerous slippery slope. 33% Bodily autonomy: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked opinion. If we want to get serious about walking with women through their prenatal journey, the prescription is not to tell a woman what she can do with her body. The prescription is assuring families that their child will have access to education, therapies and 32% the medical support they need. Government overreach: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. This ban doesn't make our country a better place for any child with a disability. But what it does do is it creates government overreach into personal family medical decisions. 31% Polling: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion by Justice Samuel Alito. This ruling is not about abortion at all, it's about democracy and majority rule, and this decision would violate what 67% of the country wants. 30% Democrats + pro-choice (Jessica Cisneros): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade, according to a draft majority opinion written by Justice Samuel Alito, leaked to the press on May 2nd. All Democrats will always stand for our health care and the right to choose. 30% Abortion access (PPFA): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. We know the harm that will come from this decision, people who do not have access to the financial resources and support they need to travel out of state are forced to carry pregnancies or flee their state to seek abortion outside of the health 30% care system. Protests + elections (Rep. Jayapal): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. Democrats will continue to fight tooth and nail for every single person's right to have a legal and safe abortion in this country. We will not accept 29% this sitting down and we will take this to the streets and the voting booth. Filibuster (Sen. Warren): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion drafted by Justice Samuel Alito. Democrats must end the 27%

### THE DATA FOR **PROGRESS**

law protecting abortion rights. Enforcment + abortion ban: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leak. What do the logistics of a government-mandated pregnancy look like? Picture a 17 year-old unmarried girl, pregnant. It's too late to have a talk with her about abstinence, and she does not feel ready to have a baby. Are we planning to pay for her prenatal care, her delivery, her child care? 26% Court Expansion (Sen. Markey): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. There is no other recourse. We must abolish the filibuster in order to pass the Women's Health Protection Act, and we must expand the Supreme Court in order to reclaim the stolen seats, and restore balance and the faith of the American people. 26% Filibuster (Sen. Baldwin): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. If the court is going to legislate from the bench and turn back the clock 50 years, then the Senate needs to pass the Women's Health Protection Act, and if we need to eliminate the filibuster to get it done, we should do that too. 26% Pro-choice + elections (President Biden): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. It will fall on voters to elect pro-choice

filibuster and stop this horrifying injustice in its tracks by codifying Roe v. Wade with a national

**Polling:** The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade, according to a draft majority opinion by Justice Samuel Alito, leaked to the press on May 2nd. There is not a single state where support for a federal ban on abortion has more than 30% support among the public.

officials this November. At the federal level, we will need more pro-choice Senators and a

pro-choice majority in the House to adopt legislation that codifies Roe.

**State bans**: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion drafted by Justice Samuel Alito. If the Supreme Court votes to strike down Roe v. Wade, about half of U.S. states (representing millions of women) would likely ban abortion.

**Unviable pregnancy (Obama)**: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. Think about the couple that tried to have children for years, who are without any options when faced with the tragic reality of an unviable pregnancy. Should the state have more of a say in whether they can end the suffering of their unborn child's life then those parents?

**Trigger bans (NARAL)**: The Republican-appointed Supreme Court justices have voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. If Roe falls, 28 states will likely take action to prohibit abortion outright. Thirteen states already have "trigger bans" in

21%

21%

25%

24%

23%

DATA FOR PROGRESS - MAY 2022

### THE DATA FOR **PROGRESS**

place, which would ban abortion automatically if Roe is overturned.

**State restrictions (NARAL)**: The Republican-appointed Supreme Court justices have voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. This case is part of a coordinated series of attacks by anti-choice lawmakers on abortion access across the country. Over 500 restrictions on abortion access have been introduced at the state level this year.

19%

**Unpopular (Sen. Warren)**: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. Republicans have been working toward this day for decades. They have been out there plotting, carefully cultivating these Supreme Court justices so they could have a majority on the bench who would accomplish something that the majority of Americans do not want.

10%

Filibuster + Sen. Manchin (Rep Ocasio-Cortez): The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade, according to a leaked draft majority opinion. Democrats in Congress are trying to secure our rights, but Sen. Manchin is blocking Congress from codifying Roe by refusing to abolish the filibuster and allow legislation on abortion to pass.

10%

**Court Expansion**: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade, according to a draft majority opinion written by Justice Samuel Alito, leaked to the press on May 2nd. Democrats say that we must expand the Supreme Court with new justices and stop this horrifying injustice in its tracks.

9%

### Republican messages we tested this week, included (in rank order of most effective to least effective in moving voters):

**Democratic agenda (NRSC):** Democrats hold extreme views on abortion that are out of the mainstream. But, their other policies are so absurd that they would rather talk about abortion. Biden and the Democrats are making every American poorer, less safe, more dependent on other countries for energy, and making America weaker.

Science + adoption (NRSC): Democrats support abortion being legal. Science makes it clear that unborn babies are human beings. They feel pain, suck their thumbs, and have a heartbeat. Every abortion is a tragedy. We must make it easier for women to choose adoption instead of abortion. If they need assistance, we should help them protect these babies.

**Leaking decision (NRSC)**: Leaking the decision to overturn Roe v. Wade is troubling and is indicative of the Radical Left's mission to undermine the Supreme Court and pack the

Court with liberal judges who will rubber-stamp Democrats' radical agenda. It's wrong - the leaker should be found, fired and prosecuted.

**Defending lives (NRSC)**: Democrats support abortion being legal. One of the greatest and most unique things about America is the way we value defense of the defenseless, the desire to protect the weakest members of our society – the sick, the poor, and the elderly. Unborn babies deserve protection too.

**Public pressure (Sen. Cruz)**: Leaking the Supreme Court's draft decision to overturn Roe v. Wade is a blatant attempt to intimidate the Supreme Court through public pressure rather than reasoned argument.

**Leaking decision (Sen. McConnell)**: Leaking the decision to overturn Roe v. Wade was an attack on the independence of the Supreme Court. By every indication, this was yet another escalation in the radical left's ongoing campaign to bully and intimidate federal judges and substitute mob rule for the rule of law.

**Democrats + extreme vites (NRSC)**: Democrats have extreme views on abortion that are outside of the mainstream. They want abortions to be on demand and oppose all reasonable restrictions, like prohibiting abortion for gender selection, or limiting abortion to the first trimester. In fact, they have opposed every single restriction on abortion for nearly 50 years.

Social justice (Scott Walker): We should make the case for the protection of innocent life now that the Supreme Court intends to overturn Roe v. Wade. Today's young people are the pro-life generation. This is a true social justice issue.

**Enforcement (NRSC)**: Democrats just don't seem to care about the truth. Republicans are not in favor of putting women or doctors in jail. They would never take away anyone's contraception or health care. That's just the typical BS you get from politicians.

**Codifying abortion (Gov. Noem):** Should Roe v. Wade be overturned, Democrats will immediately pass a bill to stop the saving of lives and refuse to guarantee that every unborn child has a right to life in America.

**Pro-life + innocent life (Sen. Cruz)**: The Supreme Court has voted to strike down Roe v. Wade according to a leaked draft majority opinion. If this report is true, this is nothing short of a massive victory for life and will save the lives of millions of innocent babies.

### THE DATA FOR **PROGRESS**

**Murder + Life at Conception Act (Rep. Taylor-Greene)**: Life starts at conception. Our constitution never did and never will give the right to murder another human being in the womb. A Republican controlled Congress will instead have the moral duty and obligation to pass the Life at Conception Act.

**Leaking decision (Sen. Blackburn)**: Republicans say you should oppose CANDIDATE because a radical activist betrayed the sacred tradition of the Supreme Court in leaking the decision to overturn Roe v. Wade. The leaker must be immediately removed from their position and exiled from the legal community.

**Disability + eugenics**: Democrats support legal abortion. They say that abortions carried out because of a baby's sex, race, or potential disability, such as Down syndrome, constitutes modern-day eugenics, or selective biased breeding.

**Pro-life + innocent life (JD Vance)**: Democrats support abortion and Roe v. Wade. Republicans say overturning Roe v. Wade would be an amazing victory for the pro-life movement and, most importantly, the innocents they fight for.

**Disability (Sen. Daines):** Democrats support abortion being legal. Every human being is born with God-given dignity and potential. The most endangered human is a Down syndrome baby in the womb. We must protect these precious lives at all costs.

From:

Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

Sent: 6/24/2022 3:15:45 PM To: Carroll, Timothy [Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov]; Harney, Alethea [Harney.Alethea@epa.gov]; Flom, Hannah [Flom.Hannah@epa.gov] CC: Michalos, Maria [Michalos, Maria@epa.gov] Subject: FW: Dobbs Cabinet/Agency Chain FYI From: Hill, Matt D. EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 11:09 AM Subject: Dobbs Cabinet/Agency Chain Hi all: DOJ's statement is going before 11:30 AM ET and we will flag final here. We will follow up with message guidance. Thank you for your patience and please continue flagging any draft statements for us. Best, Matt From: Hill, Matt D. EOP/WHO Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 10:39 AM To: Berner, Kate K. EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) 'Michael.Amato@usda.gov' <Michael.Amato@usda.gov>; 'SKulkarni@cns.gov' <SKulkarni@cns.gov>; 'Florence.Lynk@cms.hhs.gov' < Florence. Lynk@cms.hhs.gov>; 'CLegacki@doc.gov' < CLegacki@doc.gov>; Ridgeway, Charissee R. EOP/CEQ <Charissee.R.Ridgeway@ceq.eop.gov>; 'carlie.s.waibel.civ@mail.mil' <carlie.s.waibel.civ@mail.mil>; 'sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil' <sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil>; 'Rachel.Thomas@ed.gov' <Rachel.Thomas@ed.gov>; 'Kelly.S.Leon@ed.gov' <<u>Kelly.S.Leon@ed.gov</u>>; 'david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov' <<u>david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov</u>>; 'hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov' <hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov>; 'channing.grate@gsa.gov' <channing.grate@gsa.gov>; 'Sarah.Lovenheim@hhs.gov' <Sarah.Lovenheim@hhs.gov>; 'marsha.espinosa@hq.dhs.gov' <marsha.espinosa@hq.dhs.gov>; 'Michael.F.Burns@hud.gov' <Michael.F.Burns@hud.gov>; 'melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov' <melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov>; 'Anthony.D.Coley@usdoj.gov' <Anthony.D.Coley@usdoj.gov>; 'Alexander.Elizabeth@dol.gov' <Alexander.Elizabeth@dol.gov>; Friedlander, Rob S. EOP/OMB Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) Jenkins, Will D. EOP/ONDCR Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP); Scully, Kelly A. EOP/ONDCP Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Qualls, Ellen S. EOP/OSTP Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) 'Caroline.Ciccone@opm.gov' <<u>Caroline.Ciccone@opm.gov</u>>; 'Shelby.Wagenseller@opm.gov' <Shelby.Wagenseller@opm.gov>; 'PriceEC@state.gov' <PriceEC@state.gov>; 'AllenEM@state.gov' <AllenEM@state.gov>; 'dani.simons@dot.gov' <dani.simons@dot.gov>; 'calvin.mitchell@treasury.gov' ., ....; Hodge, Adam R. EOP/USTR <a href="mailto:</a><a href="mailto:Adam.R.Hodge@ustr.eop.gov"><a href="mailto: Bryant Geva.gov"><a href="mailto: gov"><a href="mailto: Adam.R.Hodge@ustr.eop.gov"><a href="mailto: gov"><a href="mailto: Adam.R.Hodge@ustr.eop.gov"><a href="mailto: gov"><a href="mail <gchojkier@usaid.gov>; 'rchalif@usaid.gov' <<u>rchalif@usaid.gov</u>>; 'Terrence.Clark@sba.gov' <<u>Terrence.Clark@sba.gov</u>>; 'Christina.Carr@sba.gov' <Christina.Carr@sba.gov>; 'Han.Nguyen@sba.gov' <Han.Nguyen@sba.gov>; 'marc.r.etkind@nasa.gov' <marc.r.etkind@nasa.gov>; 'fhalaiko@peacecorps.gov' <fhalaiko@peacecorps.gov>; Quartell, Melissa L (USUN New York) < Quartell ML@state.gov> Cc: Bedingfield, Kate J. EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Simmons, Jamal N. EOP/OVP Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) >; Ziskend, Herbie M. EOP/OVP < Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) Jean-Pierre, Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Meagher, Chris M. EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Bates, Andrew J. EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) Subject: RE: Dobbs

+

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 10:21 AM

From: Hill, Matt D. EOP/WHO  Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 10:26 AM  To: Berner, Kate K. EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP); Michael.Amato@usda.gov; SKulkarni@cns.gov; Florence.Lynk@cms.hhs.gov; Clegacki@doc.gov; Ridgeway, Charissee R. EOP/CEQ <charissee.r.ridgeway@ceq.eop.gov>; carlie.s.waibel.civ@mail.mil; 'sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil'  <sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil>; Rachel.Thomas@ed.gov; 'Kelly.S.Leon@ed.gov' <kelly.s.leon@ed.gov>; david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov; hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov; 'channing.grate@gsa.gov' <channing.grate@gsa.gov>; Sarah.Lovenheim@hhs.gov; marsha.espinosa@hq.dhs.gov; 'Michael.F.Burns@hud.gov' <michael.f.burns@hud.gov>; melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov; Anthony.D.Coley@usdoj.gov; Alexander.Elizabeth@dol.gov; Friedlander, Rob S.</michael.f.burns@hud.gov></channing.grate@gsa.gov></kelly.s.leon@ed.gov></sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil></charissee.r.ridgeway@ceq.eop.gov>
To: Berner, Kate K. EOP/WHO <b>Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)</b> ; Michael.Amato@usda.gov; SKulkarni@cns.gov; Florence.Lynk@cms.hhs.gov; CLegacki@doc.gov; Ridgeway, Charissee R. EOP/CEQ < Charissee.R.Ridgeway@ceq.eop.gov>; carlie.s.waibel.civ@mail.mil; 'sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil' < sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil>; Rachel.Thomas@ed.gov; 'Kelly.S.Leon@ed.gov' < Kelly.S.Leon@ed.gov>; david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov; hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov; 'channing.grate@gsa.gov' < channing.grate@gsa.gov>; Sarah.Lovenheim@hhs.gov; marsha.espinosa@hq.dhs.gov; 'Michael.F.Burns@hud.gov' < Michael.F.Burns@hud.gov>; melissa_schwartz@ios.doi.gov; Anthony.D.Coley@usdoj.gov; Alexander.Elizabeth@dol.gov; Friedlander, Rob S.
To: Berner, Kate K. EOP/WHO <b>Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)</b> ; Michael.Amato@usda.gov; SKulkarni@cns.gov; Florence.Lynk@cms.hhs.gov; CLegacki@doc.gov; Ridgeway, Charissee R. EOP/CEQ < Charissee.R.Ridgeway@ceq.eop.gov>; carlie.s.waibel.civ@mail.mil; 'sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil' < sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil>; Rachel.Thomas@ed.gov; 'Kelly.S.Leon@ed.gov' < Kelly.S.Leon@ed.gov>; david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov; hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov; 'channing.grate@gsa.gov' < channing.grate@gsa.gov>; Sarah.Lovenheim@hhs.gov; marsha.espinosa@hq.dhs.gov; 'Michael.F.Burns@hud.gov' < Michael.F.Burns@hud.gov>; melissa_schwartz@ios.doi.gov; Anthony.D.Coley@usdoj.gov; Alexander.Elizabeth@dol.gov; Friedlander, Rob S.
Florence.Lynk@cms.hhs.gov; Clegacki@doc.gov; Ridgeway, Charissee R. EOP/CEQ <charissee.r.ridgeway@ceq.eop.gov>; carlie.s.waibel.civ@mail.mil; 'sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil' <sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil>; Rachel.Thomas@ed.gov; 'Kelly.S.Leon@ed.gov' <kelly.s.leon@ed.gov>; david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov; hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov; 'channing.grate@gsa.gov' <channing.grate@gsa.gov>; Sarah.Lovenheim@hhs.gov; marsha.espinosa@hq.dhs.gov; 'Michael.F.Burns@hud.gov' <michael.f.burns@hud.gov>; melissa_schwartz@ios.doi.gov; Anthony.D.Coley@usdoj.gov; Alexander.Elizabeth@dol.gov; Friedlander, Rob S.</michael.f.burns@hud.gov></channing.grate@gsa.gov></kelly.s.leon@ed.gov></sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil></charissee.r.ridgeway@ceq.eop.gov>

 $\textbf{To: 'Michael.Amato@usda.gov'} < \underline{\texttt{Michael.Amato@usda.gov}}; \textbf{'SKulkarni@cns.gov'} < \underline{\texttt{SKulkarni@cns.gov}}; \textbf{'SKulkarni@cns.gov'} < \underline{\texttt{Nulkarni@cns.gov}}; \textbf{'SKulkarni@cns.gov'} < \underline{\texttt{Nulkarni@cns.gov'}}; <$ 

ED\_013047\_00000474-00002

'Florence.Lynk@cms.hhs.gov' < <u>Florence.Lynk@cms.hhs.gov</u> >; 'CLegacki@doc.gov' < <u>CLegacki@doc.gov</u> >; Ridgeway,
$Charissee \ R. \ EOP/CEQ < \underline{Charissee.R.Ridgeway@ceq.eop.gov}; \ 'john.f.kirby4.civ@mail.mil' < \underline{john.f.kirby4.civ@mail.mil} > ; \ 'john.f.kirby4.civ@mail.mil' < jo$
'carlie.s.waibel.civ@mail.mil' < <u>carlie.s.waibel.civ@mail.mil</u> >; 'Rachel.Thomas@ed.gov' < <u>Rachel.Thomas@ed.gov</u> >;
$"david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov" < \underline{david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov}; "hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov" < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov}; "hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov" < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov" < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov}; "hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov" < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov" < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov" < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov}; "hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov" < hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov" < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov" < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov < \underline{hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov < \underline{hamilto$
'teressa.wykpisz-lee@gsa.gov' < <u>teressa.wykpiśż-lee@gsa.gov</u> >; 'Sarah.Lovenheim@hhs.gov'
< <u>Sarah.Lovenheim@hhs.gov</u> >; 'marsha.espinosa@hq.dhs.gov' < <u>marsha.espinosa@hq.dhs.gov</u> >;
'Addie.Whisenant@hud.gov' < <u>Addie.Whisenant@hud.gov</u> >; 'melissa_schwartz@ios.doi.gov'
<melissa_schwartz@ios.doi.gov>; 'Jennifer.Donohue@usdoj.gov' <jennifer.donohue@usdoj.gov>;</jennifer.donohue@usdoj.gov></melissa_schwartz@ios.doi.gov>
'Anthony.D.Coley@usdoj.gov' < <u>Anthony.D.Coley@usdoj.gov</u> >; 'Alexander.Elizabeth@dol.gov'
< <u>Alexander.Elizabeth@dol.gov</u> >; Friedlander, Rob S. EOP/OMB <b>Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)</b> ; Jenkins, Will D.
EOP/ONDCP < Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Scully, Kelly A. EOP/ONDCP < Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Krieger, Julia N
EOP/OSTP { Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)   'Caroline.Ciccone@opm.gov' < Caroline.Ciccone@opm.gov>;
$'Price EC @ state.gov' < \underline{Price EC @ state.gov}; 'Allen EM @ state.gov' < \underline{Allen EM @ state.gov}; 'dani.simons @ dot.gov' \\$
< <u>dani.simons@dot.gov</u> >; 'calvin.mitchell@treasury.gov' < <u>calvin.mitchell@treasury.gov</u> >; 'Lily.Adams@treasury.gov'
< <u>Lily.Adams@treasury.gov</u> >; 'DaltonOA@state.gov' < <u>DaltonOA@state.gov</u> >; Hodge, Adam R. EOP/USTR
< <u>Adam.R.Hodge@ustr.eop.gov</u> >; 'Kayla.Williams9@va.gov' < <u>Kayla.Williams9@va.gov</u> >; 'gchojkier@usaid.gov'
$<\!$
'Christina.Carr@sba.gov' < <u>Christina.Carr@sba.gov</u> >; 'Han.Nguyen@sba.gov' < <u>Han.Nguyen@sba.gov</u> >;
'marc.r.etkind@nasa.gov' < <u>marc.r.etkind@nasa.gov</u> >
Cc: Hill, Matt D. EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP); Bedingfield, Kate J. EOP/WHO
Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) }; Simmons, Jamal N. EOP/OVP Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Ziskend,
Herbie M. EOP/OVP < Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Subject: Dobbs

Hi everyone – horrible day. Please hold any statements or tweets from your bosses on the Dobbs decision until POTUS and VPOTUS have gone out. We'll have message guidance ASAP.

### Thanks

Kate Berner Deputy Communications Director | the White House 202-881-9526

From: Kevin Bogardus [kbogardus@eenews.net]

**Sent**: 6/26/2022 9:25:40 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]; Harney, Alethea [Harney.Alethea@epa.gov]; Carroll, Timothy

[Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov]; EPA Press Office [Press@epa.gov]

Subject: EPA response to Supreme Court decision Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization

Attachments: epa-email-about-scotus.pdf

Hey everyone,

It's Kevin Bogardus with E&E News. I hope all is well.

I'm working on a story about EPA's response to the Supreme Court decision *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, which overturned *Roe v. Wade* and is leading to several states to ban abortion, and what it means for EPA employees. I obtained Administrator Regan's email about this decision sent to EPA employees on Friday, which I will quote from and link to in my story (please see epa-email-about-scotus.pdf).

I have a question about this, which is:

-- In the wake of the Supreme Court decision *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, will EPA now pay for EPA employees' travel to have access to abortion and reproductive healthcare services?

I ask because other federal agencies have said they would do so (please see the following is from the Department of State's statement <a href="https://www.state.gov/todays-supreme-court-decision/">https://www.state.gov/todays-supreme-court-decision/</a>):

"And this Department will do everything possible to ensure that all our employees have access to reproductive health services, wherever they live."

Please get back to me as soon as possible. My deadline is noon ET today but the sooner you get back to me, the more it helps my reporting. Also, I'm actually off today, so please email me your response and I will make sure it is in the story. Thank you for your help and stay safe, stay healthy!

### **Kevin Bogardus**

E&E News Reporter

kbogardus@eenews.net

202-446-0401 (o)

202-247-7844 (c)

202-737-5299 (f)


Follow me @KevinBogardus

distribution of the state of th

#### **E&E News**

1000 Wilson Boulevard, 8th Floor, Arlington, VA 22209 www.eenews.net

Greenwire, ClimateWire, EnergyWire, E&E Daily, E&ENews PM



Dear Colleagues,

I started the day thinking through what I would say to you all on the SCOTUS West Virginia v. EPA decision, knowing it might be difficult to comprehend how it will impact some of our most important work here at the agency. Instead, a different decision came down from the court today, one that, regardless of where you stand on the issue, causes a wide range of emotions.

It's difficult to put into words how this moment feels for many of us, and especially for women, but I felt like I couldn't stand by and not say anything.

So I will simply say this - I want all of my colleagues here at EPA to know that you are valued and heard and that the work you do to uplift communities to have clean air to breathe and clean water to drink is essential to protecting people's health. I see the work you do, how deeply you care, and I want you to know – at this moment, more than ever — the importance of your contributions to protect human health, particularly the health of women, children, and vulnerable communities. Your dedication does not go unnoticed.

I also want you to know where President Biden and the Biden-Harris Administration stand on this. You can read the President's response here and watch his remarks here. Attorney General Merrick Garland's statement can be found here.

As always, please take care of yourself today and in the days to follow. Take a moment if you need to. Know that I have your back and I support you.

Thank you, as always, for all that you do.

Michael S. Regan Administrator

From: Kevin Bogardus [kbogardus@eenews.net]

**Sent**: 6/27/2022 4:37:14 PM

To: Carroll, Timothy [Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov]; Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]; EPA Press Office

[Press@epa.gov]

Subject: Re: EPA response to Supreme Court decision Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization

Many thanks, Tim. Huge help. I will quote you from your email below on the record as an EPA spokesperson in my story. Thanks so much again and talk to you later!

### **Kevin Bogardus**

**E&E News Reporter** 

kbogardus@eenews.net

202-446-0401 (o)

202-247-7844 (c)

202-737-5299 (f)

Follow me @KevinBogardus

### **E&E News**

1000 Wilson Boulevard, 8th Floor, Arlington, VA 22209

www.eenews.net

Greenwire, ClimateWire, EnergyWire, E&E Daily, E&ENews PM

From: Carroll, Timothy < Carroll. Timothy@epa.gov>

Sent: Monday, June 27, 2022 12:25 PM

To: Kevin Bogardus <a href="mailto:kbogardus@eenews.net">kbogardus@eenews.net</a>; Hamilton, Lindsay <a href="mailto:kbogardus@eenews.net">kbogardus@eenews.net</a>; EPA Press Office

<Press@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: EPA response to Supreme Court decision Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization

**EXTERNAL SENDER**: Use caution with links and attachments.

Kevin, apologies for the delay here. EPA is awaiting additional guidance on potential impacts to the federal workforce.

Let us know if you have any other questions, and we can track those down. Thanks!

Tim Carroll (he/him)
Office of Public Affairs
Environmental Protection Agency
202-384-7510 (mobile)

Twitter: @EPAPressOffice

From: Kevin Bogardus < kbogardus@eenews.net>

Sent: Monday, June 27, 2022 9:30 AM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>; Harney, Alethea < Harney.Alethea@epa.gov>; Carroll, Timothy

<Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov>; EPA Press Office <Press@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: EPA response to Supreme Court decision Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization

Hey everyone, Kevin Bogardus with E&E News here. Please let me know if you plan to respond to the below. Again, I'm off today so please email me your comment. If you do have one, I will make sure it gets into the story. My deadline is noon ET today. Thank you for your help and talk to you later!

### **Kevin Bogardus**

E&E News Reporter <a href="mailto:kbogardus@eenews.net">kbogardus@eenews.net</a> 202-446-0401 (o) 202-247-7844 (c) 202-737-5299 (f)

Follow me @KevinBogardus

#### **E&E News**

1000 Wilson Boulevard, 8th Floor, Arlington, VA 22209 www.eenews.net

Greenwire, ClimateWire, EnergyWire, E&E Daily, E&ENews PM

From: Kevin Bogardus < kbogardus@eenews.net>

**Sent:** Sunday, June 26, 2022 5:25 PM

**To:** Hamilton, Lindsay < <a href="mailton.Lindsay@epa.gov">Harney, Alethea < <a href="mailton.Harney.Alethea@epa.gov">Harney, Alethea@epa.gov</a>; Carroll, Timothy <a href="mailton.Lindsay@epa.gov">Carroll, Timothy@epa.gov</a>; Press <a href="mailton.Lindsay@epa.gov">Press <a href="ma

Subject: EPA response to Supreme Court decision Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization

Hey everyone,

It's Kevin Bogardus with E&E News. I hope all is well.

I'm working on a story about EPA's response to the Supreme Court decision *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, which overturned *Roe v. Wade* and is leading to several states to ban abortion, and what it means for EPA employees. I obtained Administrator Regan's email about this decision sent to EPA employees on Friday, which I will quote from and link to in my story (please see epa-email-about-scotus.pdf).

I have a question about this, which is:

-- In the wake of the Supreme Court decision *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, will EPA now pay for EPA employees' travel to have access to abortion and reproductive healthcare services?

I ask because other federal agencies have said they would do so (please see the following is from the Department of State's statement https://www.state.gov/todays-supreme-court-decision/):

"And this Department will do everything possible to ensure that all our employees have access to reproductive health services, wherever they live."

Please get back to me as soon as possible. My deadline is noon ET today but the sooner you get back to me, the more it helps my reporting. Also, I'm actually off today, so please email me your response and I will make sure it is in the story. Thank you for your help and stay safe, stay healthy!

### **Kevin Bogardus**

### **E&E News Reporter**

kbogardus@eenews.net

202-446-0401 (o)

202-247-7844 (c)

202-737-5299 (f)

Follow me @KevinBogardus

E&E News

1000 Wilson Boulevard, 8th Floor, Arlington, VA 22209 <a href="https://www.eenews.net">www.eenews.net</a>

Greenwire, ClimateWire, EnergyWire, E&E Daily, E&ENews PM

From: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 3:06:34 PM

To: Grantham, Nancy [Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]; Michalos, Maria [Michalos.Maria@epa.gov]; Carroll, Timothy

[Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov]; Flom, Hannah [Flom.Hannah@epa.gov]; Bascomb, Desiree [Bascomb.Desiree@epa.gov]; Gillespie, Taylor [Gillespie.Taylor@epa.gov]; DCRoomWJCN3415/AOOPA [DCRoomWJCN3415AOOPA@epa.gov]

No - that's just related to Dobbs

From: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 3:26:43 PM

To: Grantham, Nancy [Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]; Michalos, Maria [Michalos.Maria@epa.gov]; Carroll, Timothy

[Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov]; Flom, Hannah [Flom.Hannah@epa.gov]; Bascomb, Desiree [Bascomb.Desiree@epa.gov]; Gillespie, Taylor [Gillespie.Taylor@epa.gov]; DCRoomWJCN3415/AOOPA [DCRoomWJCN3415AOOPA@epa.gov]

### FYI -

The White House says President Biden will address the nation following the Supreme Court's decision overturning Roe vs. Wade at 12:30 p.m. ET.

The president will speak on the Dobbs vs. Jackson Women's Health Organization decision from the Cross Hall of the White House.

From: Cascone, Gabriella [Cascone.Gabriella@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 6:23:37 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

But would love your advice on one piece re Dobbs


From: Sent:	Isen, Thomas M. EOP/WHO [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) 6/24/2022 7:08:16 PM							
To:	Cascone, Gabriella [Cascone.Gabriella@epa.gov]							
Subject:	Dobbs Agoney COS/WHI call							
Location:	Dobbs - Agency COS/WHL call  Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)							
Start:	6/24/2022 8:00:00 PM							
End:	6/24/2022 8:30:00 PM							
Show Time As:	: Tentative							
Recurrence:	(none)							
." Yn jând lange anne is dennet."								
	N. a.							
ŀ	fi there,							
Т	homas Isen is inviting you to a scheduled ZoomGov meeting.							
<i>%</i>	Join Zoom Meeting							
~	One tap							
	nobile:							
N	Aeeting Ey 6 Porconal Privacy (PD)							
	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)							
	Neeting D:							
	יב. Passcode							
v.	loin by Telephone							
F	for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location.							
	Nial:							
	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)							
Λ	Meeting							
	D: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)							
p	Passcode:							
	<b>L</b>							
non	<u>nternational numbers</u>							

Join froi	m an H.323/5IP room system	
H.323:		
Meeting ID:	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)	
Passcode:		
SIP:		
Passcode:		

Λ	n	n	$\sim$		n	·t	m	٦	^	n	•
Α	IJ	IJ	w	1		ı.		1	_		

From: Sent: To:	Isen, Thomas M. EOP/WHO <b>Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)</b> 6/24/2022 7:08:41 PM Isen, Thomas M. EOP/WHO <b>Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)</b> Cascone, Gabriella [Cascone.Gabriella@epa.gov]
Subject: Location:	Dobbs - Agency COS/WHL call  Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Start: End: Show Time As:	6/24/2022 8:00:00 PM 6/24/2022 8:30:00 PM Tentative
Recurrence:	(none)
₹ Yagharinga manih dansai Ya	
H	i there,
T	homas Isen is inviting you to a scheduled ZoomGov meeting.
\$0 	loin Zoom Meeting
	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
r T	leeting
J	oin by Telephone
	or higher quality, dial a number based on your current location. ial:
ħ.	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)    eeting   Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
IE	locking (
P	ASSCOCI Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
<u>ar</u>	<u>iternational numbers</u>

### Join from an H.323/SIP room system



From: Schwartz, Melissa A [melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 4:23:10 PM

To: Simons, Dani (OST) [dani.simons@dot.gov]; Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]; Mayorga, David

[david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov]

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Dobbs

We are not – Chief of Staff may to political staff only but too dicey to have leaked. We're also facing 60k+ career staff that may not share our heartbreak....

From: Simons, Dani (OST) <dani.simons@dot.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 12:20 PM

To: Schwartz, Melissa A <melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov>; Hamilton, Lindsay <Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>; Mayorga,

David <david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Dobbs

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Are your principals doing an all-staff email today?

Dani Simons
Director of the Office of Public Affairs
USDOT
202-819-5843

From: Simons, Dani (OST) [dani.simons@dot.gov]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 5:32:14 PM

To: Mayorga, David [david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov]; Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]; Schwartz, Melissa A

[melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov]

Subject: RE: Dobbs

I would be interested to see how you guys are threading things for staff.

Dani Simons
Director of the Office of Public Affairs
USDOT
202-819-5843

From: Mayorga, David <david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 12:29 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>; Simons, Dani (OST) < dani.simons@dot.gov>; Schwartz, Melissa A

<melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov>

Subject: Re: Dobbs

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Department of Transportation (DOT). Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

#### Same

From: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 12:27:47 PM

To: Mayorga, David < <a href="mayorga@hq.doe.gov">david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov">dani.simons@dot.gov</a>; Schwartz, Melissa A

<melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Dobbs

Planning to – still drafting/considering. Happy to share shortly.

From: Mayorga, David <david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 12:24 PM

To: Simons, Dani (OST) < dani.simons@dot.gov>; Schwartz, Melissa A < melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov>; Hamilton,

Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Dobbs

Yep working on it

From: Simons, Dani (OST) <a href="mailto:simons@dot.gov">dani.simons@dot.gov</a>

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 12:20:15 PM

To: Schwartz, Melissa A < melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov >; Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton, Lindsay@epa.gov >; Mayorga,

David <david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Dobbs

Are your principals doing an all-staff email today?

Dani Simons
Director of the Office of Public Affairs
USDOT

***********************
This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.
*********************
************************
This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.
********************

202-819-5843

From: Harney, Alethea [Harney.Alethea@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 7:23:08 PM

To: McCabe, Janet [McCabe.Janet@epa.gov]; Utech, Dan [Utech.Dan@epa.gov]

CC: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

**Subject**: Approved Mass Mailer and Tweet

Attachments: June 24th Comms Same Day sign off.docx

Hi Deputy McCabe and Dan,

Attached is the final draft of the mass mailer to all EPA staff as well as the Administrator's tweet that will be going out before 4 PM today.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks, Alethea

Alethea Harney Senior Advisor to the Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 202-734-8437 (office) 617-953-7950 (mobile)

#### **DRAFT TWEET**

#### **Contact: Hannah Flom**

Today's decision jeopardizes the health and safety of millions of women. It takes away the long-held right to an abortion. It puts at risk the right to marry who you love at risk. It impacts us all.

I stand with @POTUS as we fight to protect the rights of every American.

<POTUS QUOTE TWEET>

# [ HYPERLINK

"https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2FPOTUS%2Fstatu s%2F1540380588619735040&data=05%7C01%7CHamilton.Lindsay%40epa.gov%7C8697f0f97110426d2 8cb08da56102181%7C88b378b367484867acf976aacbeca6a7%7C0%7C0%7C637916924544188149%7C Unknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2IuMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0 %3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=dNfVkz%2FtOM4lw2BjqqBng%2FSGalF4AGMAZrCuUoIMJSQ%3D&res erved=0" ]

#### DRAFT MASS MAILER

For Distribution on June 24, 2022

**Contact: Alethea Harney** 

DRAFT - Administrator All EPA Mass Mailer

FROM: Administrator Regan

TO: All EPA Staff

SUBJECT: Today's SCOTUS News

I started the day thinking through what I would say to you all on the SCOTUS West Virginia v. EPA decision, knowing it might be difficult to comprehend how it will impact some of our most important work here at the agency. Instead, a different decision came down from the court today, one that, regardless of where you stand on the issue, induces a wide range of emotions.

It's difficult to put into words how this moment feels for many of us, and especially for women, but I felt like I couldn't stand by and not say anything.

So I will simply say this - I want all of my colleagues here at EPA to know that you are valued and heard and that the work you do to uplift communities to have clean air to breathe and clean water to drink is essential to protecting people's health. I see the work you do, how deeply you care, and I want you to know – at this moment, more than ever — the importance of your contributions to protect human health, particularly the health of women, children, and vulnerable communities. Your dedication does not go unnoticed.

I also want you to know where President Biden and the Biden-Harris Administration stand on this. You can read [ HYPERLINK

"https://twitter.com/POTUS/status/1540380588619735040?s=20&t=TAnAfEXHSpLBJigLKgM 2dw" \t " blank" ] and [ HYPERLINK

"https://twitter.com/POTUS/status/1540373668580179968?s=20&t=TAnAfEXHSpLBJigLKgM

2dw" \t "\_blank" ]. Attorney General Merrick Garland's [ HYPERLINK "https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/attorney-general-merrick-b-garland-statement-supreme-court-ruling-dobbs-v-jackson-women-s" \t "\_blank" ].

As always, please take care of yourself today and in the days to follow. Take a moment if you need to. Know that I have your back and I support you.

Thank you, as always, for all that you do.

Michael S. Regan Administrator

From: Simons, Dani (OST) [dani.simons@dot.gov]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 7:23:28 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]; Mayorga, David [david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov]; Schwartz, Melissa A

[melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov]

Subject: Re: Dobbs

Nice. I think this is what we are doing. Very simple in the end.

Hello all,

As we all process the news of today's Supreme Court's decision, I wanted to share President Biden's remarks from earlier this afternoon.

For half a century, our nation has respected the constitutional right for women to make their own health care decisions. Today, the Court held that government officials will decide instead.

This is not the end of the story. Our work continues to ensure that in our lifetimes, American rights and freedoms will be protected, not withdrawn.

To anyone who is struggling with today's news, please take the time you need to care for yourself, as well as your friends, colleagues, and families. Our free Employee Assistance Program is available to you, linked below.

Take care,

Secretary Pete

Link for DOT: Work-Life & Wellness Site Link for FAA: FAA WorkLife Programs

[FULL POTUS REMARKS]

# Get Outlook for iOS

From: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 3:20:21 PM

To: Mayorga, David <david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>; Simons, Dani (OST) <dani.simons@dot.gov>; Schwartz, Melissa A

<melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov>

Subject: RE: Dobbs

This email originated from outside of the Department of Transportation (DOT). Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Here's what we're doing.

From: Mayorga, David <david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 1:33 PM

To: Simons, Dani (OST) <dani.simons@dot.gov>; Hamilton, Lindsay <Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>; Schwartz, Melissa A

<melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov>

Subject: RE: Dobbs

Our draft is being vetted by the powers that be, so will let you know when they come to consensus.

# David A. Mayorga

Director of Public Affairs U.S. Department of Energy

E: David.Mayorga@hq.doe.gov

M: 240-255-8281 Pronouns: He/him/his

From: Simons, Dani (OST) <dani.simons@dot.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 1:32 PM

To: Mayorga, David <david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>; Hamilton, Lindsay <Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>; Schwartz, Melissa

A < melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov > Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Dobbs

I would be interested to see how you guys are threading things for staff.

Dani Simons
Director of the Office of Public Affairs
USDOT
202-819-5843

From: Mayorga, David <a href="mayorga@hq.doe.gov">david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov</a>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 12:29 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay <a href="mailton-Lindsay@epa.gov">Hamilton, Lindsay@epa.gov</a>; Simons, Dani (OST) <a href="mailton.gov">dani.simons@dot.gov</a>; Schwartz, Melissa A

<melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov>

Subject: Re: Dobbs

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Department of Transportation (DOT). Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

#### Same

From: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov >

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 12:27:47 PM

To: Mayorga, David <a href="mayorga@hq.doe.gov">david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov</a>; Simons, Dani (OST) <a href="mayorga@dot.gov">dani.simons@dot.gov</a>; Schwartz, Melissa A

<melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Dobbs

Planning to – still drafting/considering. Happy to share shortly.

From: Mayorga, David < david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 12:24 PM

To: Simons, Dani (OST) < <a href="mailto:dani.simons@dot.gov">dani.simons@dot.gov</a>; Schwartz, Melissa A < <a href="mailto:melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov">melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov</a>; Hamilton,

Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Dobbs

Yep working on it

From: Simons, Dani (OST) < dani.simons@dot.gov>

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 12:20:15 PM

David <david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov> Subject: [EXTERNAL] Dobbs Are your principals doing an all-staff email today? Dani Simons Director of the Office of Public Affairs USDOT 202-819-5843 \* This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information. \* This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information. \* This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information. \*

To: Schwartz, Melissa A < melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov>; Hamilton, Lindsay@epa.gov>; Mayorga,

From: Mayorga, David [david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 9:49:56 PM

To: Simons, Dani (OST) [dani.simons@dot.gov]; Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]; Schwartz, Melissa A

[melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov]

Subject: Re: Dobbs

This is what DOE sent:

Dear colleagues,

The Supreme Court's decision today to upend decades of settled law and overturn Roe v. Wade and Planned Parenthood v. Casey is a major event in American life and, indeed, a turning point in American history.

Many people across the country — including in states with DOE facilities — are now concerned about what the future holds for them. I want to acknowledge them and all others struggling with the consequences of this decision.

I know opinions on abortion fall along a spectrum and that many, including me, are passionate about their views. I neither expect nor want the Department to be a monolith on this or any other issue. The fact is, we are stronger because of our differences, not in spite of them.

But I do hope that you will give each other grace and understanding as we navigate these uncharted waters together.

No matter your position on this issue, please know that some of your colleagues might be frightened, angry, or depressed about it.

And if you are struggling with this, or anything else, please know that the Department has <u>resources available</u> for Federal employees.

Thank you for all you do for the Department and the American people.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Granholm Secretary of Energy

From: Simons, Dani (OST) <dani.simons@dot.gov>

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 3:23:28 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton. Lindsay@epa.gov>; Mayorga, David < david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>; Schwartz, Melissa

A <melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov> **Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: Dobbs

Nice. I think this is what we are doing. Very simple in the end.

Hello all,

As we all process the news of today's Supreme Court's decision, I wanted to share President Biden's remarks from earlier this afternoon.

For half a century, our nation has respected the constitutional right for women to make their own health care decisions. Today, the Court held that government officials will decide instead.

This is not the end of the story. Our work continues to ensure that in our lifetimes, American rights and freedoms will be protected, not withdrawn.

To anyone who is struggling with today's news, please take the time you need to care for yourself, as well as your friends, colleagues, and families. Our free Employee Assistance Program is available to you, linked below.

Take care,

Secretary Pete

Link for DOT: Work-Life & Wellness Site Link for FAA: FAA WorkLife Programs

[FULL POTUS REMARKS]

# Get Outlook for iOS

From: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 3:20:21 PM

To: Mayorga, David <david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>; Simons, Dani (OST) <dani.simons@dot.gov>; Schwartz, Melissa A

<melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov>

Subject: RE: Dobbs

CALLTION: This email originated from outside of the Department of Transportation (DOT). Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Here's what we're doing.

From: Mayorga, David <david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 1:33 PM

To: Simons, Dani (OST) <dani.simons@dot.gov>; Hamilton, Lindsay <Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>; Schwartz, Melissa A

<melissa\_schwartz@ios.doi.gov>

Subject: RE: Dobbs

Our draft is being vetted by the powers that be, so will let you know when they come to consensus.

### David A. Mayorga

Director of Public Affairs
U.S. Department of Energy
E: David.Mayorga@hq.doe.gov

M: 240-255-8281 Pronouns: He/him/his

From: Simons, Dani (OST) < dani.simons@dot.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 1:32 PM

To: Mayorga, David <a href="mayorga@hq.doe.gov">david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov">david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov</a>; Hamilton, Lindsay <a href="mayorga@hq.doe.gov">Hamilton, Lindsay@epa.gov</a>; Schwartz, Melissa

A < melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov > Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Dobbs

I would be interested to see how you guys are threading things for staff.

Dani Simons
Director of the Office of Public Affairs
USDOT
202-819-5843

From: Mayorga, David <a href="mayorga@hq.doe.gov">david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov</a>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 12:29 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton. Lindsay@epa.gov >; Simons, Dani (OST) < dani.simons@dot.gov >; Schwartz, Melissa A

<melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov>

Subject: Re: Dobbs

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Department of Transportation (DOT). Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Same

From: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton, Lindsay@epa.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 12:27:47 PM

To: Mayorga, David <a href="mayorga@hq.doe.gov">dani.simons@dot.gov</a>; Schwartz, Melissa A

<melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Dobbs

Planning to – still drafting/considering. Happy to share shortly.

From: Mayorga, David <david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 12:24 PM

To: Simons, Dani (OST) < dani.simons@dot.gov>; Schwartz, Melissa A < melissa \_schwartz@ios.doi.gov>; Hamilton,

Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Dobbs

Yep working on it

From: Simons, Dani (OST) < dani.simons@dot.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 12:20:15 PM

To: Schwartz, Melissa A < melissa schwartz@ios.doi.gov >; Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov >; Mayorga,

David < david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Dobbs

Are your principals doing an all-staff email today?

Dani Simons
Director of the Office of Public Affairs
USDOT
202-819-5843

\*

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.

Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.
*******************
********************
This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.
*******************
*************************
This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: Flom, Hannah [Flom.Hannah@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/28/2022 1:35:50 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

Subject: Accepted: SCOTUS Check-In Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start**: 6/28/2022 3:15:00 PM **End**: 6/28/2022 3:45:00 PM

Recurrence: (none)

From: Microsoft Outlook [MicrosoftExchange329e71ec88ae4615bbc36ab6ce41109e@usepa.onmicrosoft.com]

on behalf of Niebling, William [Niebling.William@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/28/2022 1:56:12 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

Subject: Meeting Forward Notification: SCOTUS Check-In

Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start**: 6/28/2022 3:15:00 PM **End**: 6/28/2022 3:45:00 PM

Recurrence: (none)

# Your meeting was forwarded

Niebling, William has forwarded your meeting request to additional recipients.

Meeting

SCOTUS Check-In

**Meeting Time** 

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:15 AM-11:45 AM.

Recipients

Lucey, John

Laverdiere, Maria

All times listed are in the following time zone: (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Sent by Microsoft Exchange Server

From: Atkinson, Emily [Atkinson.Emily@epa.gov] on behalf of McCabe, Janet [McCabe.Janet@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/28/2022 4:44:39 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

Subject: Accepted: HOLD SCOTUS Check-In

Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start**: 6/29/2022 4:30:00 PM **End**: 6/29/2022 5:00:00 PM

Show Time As: Busy

From: Hamilton, Lindsay [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=bc6bc5adbf134e70ac4e553b3b04df36-Hamilton, L]

**Sent**: 6/28/2022 1:31:50 PM

To: OPA Non-Career [OPA\_Non-Career@epa.gov]; Gillespie, Taylor [Gillespie.Taylor@epa.gov]; Grantham, Nancy

(Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov) [Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]

CC: Cortez Russell, Loni [Russell.Loni@epa.gov]; Niebling, William [Niebling.William@epa.gov]

Subject: SCOTUS Check-In

Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start**: 6/28/2022 3:15:00 PM **End**: 6/28/2022 3:45:00 PM

Show Time As: Tentative

Required

OPA Non-Career; Gillespie, Taylor; Nancy Grantham

Attendees:

Optional

Cortez Russell, Loni; Niebling, William

Attendees:

OPA meeting to discuss post-SCOTUS comms.

# Microsoft Teams meeting

# Join on your computer or mobile app

Click here to join the meeting

# Join with a video conferencing device

sip:teams@video.epa.gov

Video Conference ID: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Alternate VTC instructions

# Or call in (audio only)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) United States, Washington DC

Phone Conference ID: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Find a local number | Reset PIN

For all EPA meetings, there is no expectation of privacy regarding any communications. Participation in a recorded meeting will be deemed as consent to be recorded. Information on EPA systems is the property of the Agency and may become official records.

Learn More | Meeting options

ED\_013047\_00001804-00001

From: Hamilton, Lindsay [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=bc6bc5adbf134e70ac4e553b3b04df36-Hamilton, L]

**Sent**: 6/28/2022 4:40:53 PM

CC: Srinivasan, Gautam [Srinivasan.Gautam@epa.gov]; Conrad, Daniel [conrad.daniel@epa.gov]; Prieto, Jeffrey

[Prieto.Jeffrey@epa.gov]; Utech, Dan [Utech.Dan@epa.gov]; McCabe, Janet [McCabe.Janet@epa.gov]; Niebling,

William [Niebling.William@epa.gov]; Laverdiere, Maria [Laverdiere.Maria@epa.gov]; Cortez Russell, Loni

[Russell.Loni@epa.gov]; Enobakhare, Rosemary (Enobakhare.Rosemary@epa.gov) [Enobakhare.Rosemary@epa.gov]; Lucey, John [Lucey.John.D@epa.gov]; Arroyo, Victoria

[Arroyo.Victoria@epa.gov]; Millett, John [Millett.John@epa.gov]; Goffman, Joseph [Goffman.Joseph@epa.gov]; Michalos, Maria [Michalos.Maria@epa.gov]; Carroll, Timothy [Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov]; Harney, Alethea

[Harney.Alethea@epa.gov]; Carbonell, Tomas [Carbonell.Tomas@epa.gov]

Subject: HOLD SCOTUS Check-In Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start**: 6/29/2022 4:30:00 PM **End**: 6/29/2022 5:00:00 PM

Show Time As: Tentative

**Optional** Srinivasan, Gautam; Conrad, Daniel; Prieto, Jeffrey; Utech, Dan; Janet McCabe; Niebling, William; Laverdiere, Maria;

Attendees: Cortez Russell, Loni; Rosemary Enobakhare; Lucey, John; Arroyo, Victoria; Millett, John; Goffman, Joseph; Maria

Michalos; Timothy Carroll; Harney, Alethea; Carbonell, Tomas

Holding time for a quick briefing for external affairs teams and others following the SCOTUS decision.

Agenda: OGC analysis, Q&A.

Meeting time could change.

Please forward as you'd like.

# Microsoft Teams meeting

#### Join on your computer or mobile app

Click here to join the meeting

# Join with a video conferencing device

sip:teams@video.epa.gov

Video Conference ID: Ex. 6

Alternate VTC instructions

# Or call in (audio only)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) United States, Washington DC

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Find a local number | Reset PIN

For all EPA meetings, there is no expectation of privacy regarding any communications. Participation in a recorded meeting will be deemed as consent to be recorded. Information on EPA systems is the property of the Agency and may become official records.
Learn More   Meeting options

From: Goffman, Joseph [Goffman.Joseph@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/28/2022 4:44:15 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

Subject: Accepted: HOLD SCOTUS Check-In

Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start**: 6/29/2022 4:30:00 PM **End**: 6/29/2022 5:00:00 PM

**Recurrence**: (none)

From: Prieto, Jeffrey [Prieto.Jeffrey@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/28/2022 6:13:21 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

Subject: Accepted: HOLD SCOTUS Check-In

Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start**: 6/29/2022 4:30:00 PM **End**: 6/29/2022 5:00:00 PM

Show Time As: Busy

From: Hamilton, Lindsay [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=bc6bc5adbf134e70ac4e553b3b04df36-Hamilton, L]

**Sent**: 6/28/2022 1:31:48 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]; OPA Non-Career [OPA\_Non-Career@epa.gov]; Gillespie, Taylor

[Gillespie.Taylor@epa.gov]; Nancy Grantham [Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]

CC: Cortez Russell, Loni [Russell.Loni@epa.gov]; Niebling, William [Niebling.William@epa.gov]; Flom, Hannah

[Flom.Hannah@epa.gov]; Carroll, Timothy [Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov]; Lucey, John [Lucey.John.D@epa.gov]; Maria

Laverdiere (Laverdiere.Maria@epa.gov) [Laverdiere.Maria@epa.gov]

Subject: SCOTUS Check-In

Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start**: 6/28/2022 3:15:00 PM **End**: 6/28/2022 3:45:00 PM

Show Time As: Busy

Required Hamilton, Lindsay; OPA Non-Career; Gillespie, Taylor; Nancy Grantham

Attendees:

Optional Cortez Russell, Loni; Niebling, William; Flom, Hannah; Carroll, Timothy; Lucey, John; Maria Laverdiere

Attendees: (Laverdiere.Maria@epa.gov)

OPA meeting to discuss post-SCOTUS comms.

# Microsoft Teams meeting

# Join on your computer or mobile app

Click here to join the meeting

# Join with a video conferencing device

sip:teams@video.epa.gov
Video Conference ID: LEX. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Alternate VTC instructions

# Or call in (audio only)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) United States, Washington DC

Phone Conference ID: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Find a local number | Reset PIN

For all EPA meetings, there is no expectation of privacy regarding any communications. Participation in a recorded meeting will be deemed as consent to be recorded. Information on EPA systems is the property of the Agency and may become official records.

Learn More | Meeting options

From: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 3:27:13 PM

To: Morgan, Ashley [Morgan.Ashley.M@epa.gov]

# FYI -

The White House says President Biden will address the nation following the Supreme Court's decision overturning Roe vs. Wade at 12:30 p.m. ET.

The president will speak on the Dobbs vs. Jackson Women's Health Organization decision from the Cross Hall of the White House.

From: Flom, Hannah [Flom.Hannah@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 5:38:16 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

Today's Supreme Court decision not only takes away a Constitutional right from the American people, but jeopardizes the health and safety of millions of women.

@POTUS made it clear that we will continue the fight to protect the rights and freedoms of every American.

From: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/28/2022 1:56:14 PM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]; OPA Non-Career [OPA Non-Career@epa.gov]; Gillespie, Taylor

[Gillespie.Taylor@epa.gov]; Nancy Grantham [Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]

CC: Cortez Russell, Loni [Russell.Loni@epa.gov]; Niebling, William [Niebling.William@epa.gov]; Flom, Hannah

[Flom.Hannah@epa.gov]; Carroll, Timothy [Carroll.Timothy@epa.gov]; Lucey, John [Lucey.John.D@epa.gov]; Maria

Laverdiere (Laverdiere.Maria@epa.gov) [Laverdiere.Maria@epa.gov]

Subject: SCOTUS Check-In

Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start**: 6/28/2022 3:15:00 PM **End**: 6/28/2022 3:45:00 PM

Show Time As: Busy

Required Hamilton, Lindsay; OPA Non-Career; Gillespie, Taylor; Nancy Grantham

Attendees:

Optional Cortez Russell, Loni; Niebling, William; Flom, Hannah; Carroll, Timothy; Lucey, John; Maria Laverdiere

Attendees: (Laverdiere.Maria@epa.gov)

OPA is going to huddle on SCOTUS comms – if either of you wants to join, please feel free but no obligation. Doesn't work for me but OCIR is not mandatory.

----Original Appointment----

From: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton, Lindsay@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:32 AM

**To:** Hamilton, Lindsay; OPA Non-Career; Gillespie, Taylor; Nancy Grantham **Cc:** Cortez Russell, Loni; Niebling, William; Flom, Hannah; Carroll, Timothy

Subject: SCOTUS Check-In

When: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:15 AM-11:45 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

Where: Microsoft Teams Meeting

OPA meeting to discuss post-SCOTUS comms.

# Microsoft Teams meeting

#### Join on your computer or mobile app

Click here to join the meeting

#### Join with a video conferencing device

sip:teams@video.epa.gov

Video Conference ID: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Alternate VTC instructions

#### Or call in (audio only)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) United States, Washington DC

Phone Conference ID: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

# Find a local number | Reset PIN

For all EPA meetings, there is no expectation of privacy regarding any communications. Participation in a recorded meeting will be deemed as consent to be recorded. Information on EPA systems is the property of the Agency and may become official records.

Learn More | Meeting options

From: Lucey, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=223482edd5154252b338d5377826f21e-Lucey, John]

**Sent**: 6/28/2022 8:08:20 PM

To: scheduling [scheduling@epa.gov]

Subject: Accepted: Phone-call: Update on SCOTUS

Location: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

**Start**: 6/29/2022 1:20:00 PM **End**: 6/29/2022 1:30:00 PM

Show Time As: Busy

From: on behalf of

Niebling, William [Niebling.William@epa.gov] Hamilton, Lindsay [Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov]

Sent:

6/28/2022 1:56:12 PM

To:

Lucey, John [Lucey.John.D@epa.gov]; Laverdiere, Maria [Laverdiere.Maria@epa.gov]

Subject: Location: FW: SCOTUS Check-In Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start: End:

6/28/2022 3:15:00 PM 6/28/2022 3:45:00 PM

Show Time As: Tentative

OPA is going to huddle on SCOTUS comms - if either of you wants to join, please feel free but no obligation. Doesn't work for me but OCIR is not mandatory.

----Original Appointment----

From: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton.Lindsay@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:32 AM

To: Hamilton, Lindsay; OPA Non-Career; Gillespie, Taylor; Nancy Grantham Cc: Cortez Russell, Loni; Niebling, William; Flom, Hannah; Carroll, Timothy

Subject: SCOTUS Check-In

When: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:15 AM-11:45 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

Where: Microsoft Teams Meeting

OPA meeting to discuss post-SCOTUS comms.

Microsoft Teams meeting

# Join on your computer or mobile app

Click here to join the meeting

# Join with a video conferencing device

sip:teams@video.epa.gov

Video Conference ID: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Alternate VTC instructions

Or call in (audio only)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) United States, Washington DC

Phone Conference ID: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Find a local number | Reset PIN

For all EPA meetings, there is no expectation of privacy regarding any communications. Participation in a recorded meeting will be deemed as consent to be recorded. Information on EPA systems is the property of the Agency and may become official records.

McCabe, Janet [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP From:

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=C6014E2E039B4EDEA04E1942CD86D68D-MCCABE, JAN]

Sent: 6/24/2022 3:52:13 PM

To: Drinkard, Andrea [Drinkard.Andrea@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Video

Biden is speaking at 12:30 re Dobbs. We might want to be somewhere where we can listen.

----Original Message----

From: Drinkard, Andrea <Drinkard.Andrea@epa.gov> Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 11:51 AM To: McCabe, Janet <McCabe.Janet@epa.gov>

Subject: Video

I'm checking to make sure we're all set for the video. Lindsay was going to stop by at noon. I'll come get you when we're ready for you!

From: McCabe, Janet [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=C6014E2E039B4EDEA04E1942CD86D68D-MCCABE, JAN]

 Sent:
 6/24/2022 3:55:32 PM

 To:
 Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Subject: FW: DOJ Statement

From: Hamilton, Lindsay < Hamilton. Lindsay@epa.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 11:53 AM

To: Utech, Dan <Utech.Dan@epa.gov>; McCabe, Janet <McCabe.Janet@epa.gov>; Prieto, Jeffrey

<Prieto.Jeffrey@epa.gov>
Subject: DOJ Statement

FYI

From: Hill, Matt D. EOP/WHO ← Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 11:51 AM **Subject:** RE: Dobbs Cabinet/Agency Chain

DOJ statement:

https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/attorney-general-merrick-b-garland-statement-supreme-court-ruling-dobbs-v-jackson-women-s

# Attorney General Merrick B. Garland Statement on Supreme Court Ruling in Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization

Attorney General Merrick B. Garland today released the following statement following the Supreme Court's decision in Dobbs, State Health Officer of the Mississippi Department of Health, et al. v. Jackson Women's Health Organization et al.:

"Today, the Supreme Court overturned *Roe v. Wade* and *Planned Parenthood v. Casey* and held that the right to abortion is no longer protected by the Constitution.

"The Supreme Court has eliminated an established right that has been an essential component of women's liberty for half a century – a right that has safeguarded women's ability to participate fully and equally in society. And in renouncing this fundamental right, which it had repeatedly recognized and reaffirmed, the Court has upended the doctrine of *stare decisis*, a key pillar of the rule of law.

"The Justice Department strongly disagrees with the Court's decision. This decision deals a devastating blow to reproductive freedom in the United States. It will have an immediate and irreversible impact on the lives of people across the country. And it will be greatly disproportionate in its effect – with the greatest burdens felt by people of color and those of limited financial means.

\*\*\*

"But today's decision does not eliminate the ability of states to keep abortion legal within their borders. And the Constitution continues to restrict states' authority to ban reproductive services provided outside their borders.

"We recognize that traveling to obtain reproductive care may not be feasible in many circumstances. But under bedrock constitutional principles, women who reside in states that have banned access to comprehensive reproductive care must remain free to seek that care in states where it is legal. Moreover, under fundamental First Amendment principles, individuals must remain free to inform and counsel each other about the reproductive care that is available in other states.

"Advocates with different views on this issue have the right to, and will, voice their opinions. Peacefully expressing a view is protected by the First Amendment. But we must be clear that violence and threats of violence are not. The Justice Department will not tolerate such acts.

\*\*\*

"The Justice Department will work tirelessly to protect and advance reproductive freedom.

"Under the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act, the Department will continue to protect healthcare providers and individuals seeking reproductive health services in states where those services remain legal. This law prohibits anyone from obstructing access to reproductive health services through violence, threats of violence, or property damage.

"The Department strongly supports efforts by Congress to codify Americans' reproductive rights, which it retains the authority to do. We also support other legislative efforts to ensure access to comprehensive reproductive services. "And we stand ready to work with other arms of the federal government that seek to use their lawful authorities to protect and preserve access to reproductive care. In particular, the FDA has approved the use of the medication Mifepristone. States may not ban Mifepristone based on disagreement with the FDA's expert judgment about its safety and efficacy.

"Furthermore, federal agencies may continue to provide reproductive health services to the extent authorized by federal law. And federal employees who carry out their duties by providing such services must be allowed to do so free from the threat of liability. It is the Department's longstanding position that States generally may not impose criminal or civil liability on federal employees who perform their duties in a manner authorized by federal law. Additionally, the Department's Office of Legal Counsel has determined that federal employees engaging in such conduct would not violate the Assimilative Crimes Act and could not be prosecuted by the federal government under that law. The Justice Department is prepared to assist agencies in resolving any questions about the scope of their authority to provide reproductive care.

\*\*\*

"The ability to decide one's own future is a fundamental American value, and few decisions are more significant and personal than the choice of whether and when to have children.

"Few rights are more central to individual freedom than the right to control one's own body.

"The Justice Department will use every tool at our disposal to protect reproductive freedom. And we will not waver from this Department's founding responsibility to protect the civil rights of all Americans."

From: McCabe, Janet [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=C6014E2E039B4EDEA04E1942CD86D68D-MCCABE, JAN]

**Sent**: 6/24/2022 10:50:03 PM

To: Atkinson, Emily [Atkinson.Emily@epa.gov]; Morgan, Ashley [Morgan.Ashley.M@epa.gov]

CC: Lance, Kathleen [Lance.Kathleen@epa.gov]; Meza, Michelle [Meza.Michelle@epa.gov]; Drinkard, Andrea

[Drinkard.Andrea@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Weekly Meeting with the Administrator

#### Yep-I totally understand

From: Atkinson, Emily < Atkinson. Emily@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 12:19 PM

To: Morgan, Ashley <Morgan.Ashley.M@epa.gov>; McCabe, Janet <McCabe.Janet@epa.gov>

Cc: Lance, Kathleen <Lance.Kathleen@epa.gov>; Meza, Michelle <Meza.Michelle@epa.gov>; Drinkard, Andrea

<Drinkard.Andrea@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Weekly Meeting with the Administrator

Thanks Ashley for the update. We will stand by to hear more about the options.

From: Morgan, Ashley < Morgan. Ashley. M@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 12:09 PM

To: McCabe, Janet < McCabe\_Janet@epa.gov>; Atkinson, Emily < Atkinson. Emily@epa.gov>

Cc: Lance, Kathleen < Lance. Kathleen@epa.gov>; Meza, Michelle < Meza. Michelle@epa.gov>; Drinkard, Andrea

<Drinkard.Andrea@epa.gov>

Subject: Weekly Meeting with the Administrator

Hi Janet -

As you might imagine, a call with the President and Cabinet Secretaries has been added to the Administrator's schedule today to discuss the SCOTUS ruling, this is causing a shift in the Administrator's calendar for the day and he will no longer be able to meet this afternoon. Once, we have a better understanding of his schedule for the road next week we will work to find time for a phone call for your weekly.

Thanks so much for your understanding.

-Ashley

#### Ashley M. Morgan

Deputy Director of Scheduling and Advance U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Cell: (202) 909-9983

From: Briskin, Jeanne [Briskin.Jeanne@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 6/22/2022 5:41:33 PM

**To**: McCabe, Janet [McCabe.Janet@epa.gov]

CC: Drinkard, Andrea [Drinkard.Andrea@epa.gov]; Atkinson, Emily [Atkinson.Emily@epa.gov]; Monger, Jon

[Monger.Jon@epa.gov]; Utech, Dan [Utech.Dan@epa.gov]; Han, Kaythi [Han.Kaythi@epa.gov]; Grantham, Nancy

[Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]

**Subject**: Update: maternal health blueprint rollout still targeted to 6/24

It looks like 6/24 is still the likely release date.

At EOP's request, we are running a key sentence in the announcement through OGC, with cc to OW for a final legal check about a statement on the LCRI rule.

Jeanne

From: Briskin, Jeanne

**Sent:** Thursday, June 16, 2022 7:13 PM **To:** McCabe, Janet <McCabe.Janet@epa.gov>

Cc: Drinkard, Andrea < Drinkard. Andrea@epa.gov>; Atkinson, Emily < Atkinson. Emily@epa.gov>; Monger, Jon

<Monger.Jon@epa.gov>; Utech, Dan <Utech.Dan@epa.gov>; Han, Kaythi <Han.Kaythi@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: maternal health blueprint rollout tentative date 6/24

Thanks Janet. Adding Kaythi who can work with Nancy regarding OPA review.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 16, 2022, at 6:57 PM, McCabe, Janet < McCabe, Janet@epa.gov > wrote:

I heard someone mention something about the maternal health blueprint in the context of the Administrator's schedule this morning, so am adding Dan in case he has intel on the event and agencies' participation.

It seems like the timing is conducive to including reference to this announcement in my mass mailer, and happy to let you and folks in OPA and Andrea figure out what makes most sense gor getting the most information to staff in the most effective way.

Janet

From: Briskin, Jeanne < Briskin. Jeanne@epa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2022 4:54 PM

To: McCabe, Janet < McCabe. Janet@epa.gov >

Cc: Drinkard, Andrea < Drinkard. Andrea@epa.gov>; Atkinson, Emily < Atkinson. Emily@epa.gov>

Subject: maternal health blueprint rollout tentative date 6/24

Hi Janet,

I've learned that the maternal health blueprint is currently scheduled for 6/24 in Chicago with VPOTUS. If a decision comes from the Supreme Court affecting Roe v Wade, the date may shift again.

I attempted to learn, but have not heard back from EOP:

- Is EOP requesting participation at the event in Chicago, simply offering if we're interested, or informing us?
- Does participation at the event mean showing up in person in Chicago or is this a virtual event?
- What is the stakeholder/communications plan?
- Are other roles that EPA might play to amplify the rollout?

You may recall that we drafted a blog post for your associated with the 25<sup>th</sup> Anniversary. OPA advised holding it and posting it at a later date to let them stage other priorities and to not flood all of our items to the public at once. The draft is now with OPA for review. If you're interested, we could ask OPA to review/work with you to post as a way to for your to amplify the blueprint when it is released. Please let us know if we should work with OP to ove the article up for posting.

Thanks Jeanne

#### Jeanne Briskin

Director, Office of Children's Health Protection U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

(202) 564-4583

http://www.epa.gov/children https://www.epa.gov/schools

https://www.epa.gov/aboutepa/about-office-childrens-health-protection-ochp

rom: ent:	Isen, Thomas M. EOP/WHC Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) 6/24/2022 4:17:50 PM
o:	Isen, Thomas M. EOP/WHO [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) /]; Todd, Nora C. EOP/USTR [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) /]
	Moreno Zepeda, Mario A. EOP/ONDCP [N
	[ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]]; Koizumi, Kei EOP/OSTP [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]; Budzinski, Nikki J.
	EOP/OMB Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Donahue, Elisabeth H. EOP/CEA Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
	Sanchez Garcia, Lilian M. EOP/OVP [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) v]; Aidinoff, Marc F. EOP/OSTP
	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Wallace, Rachel L. EOP/OMB Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Leiserson, Nick J.
	EOP/NCD Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Lo, Kevin C. EOP/OSTP [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
	[dvega@usaid.gov]; Hurlburt, Heather F. EOP/USTR [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) } Ryan, Evan M. EOP/WHO
	[ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]; Alex, Cristobal J. EOP/WHO [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]; Raghavan, Gautam
	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP); Ebong, Enoh [eebong@ustda.gov]; Razzouk, Kelly L (USUN New York
	[RazzoukKL@state.gov]; anne.harkavy@opm.gov; Charles E Luftig [Charles.Luftig@dni.gov];
	lawrence_s_roberts@ios.doi.gov; Dalton, Bale (HQ-AH000) [bale.dalton@nasa.gov]; karen.olick@hq.dhs.gov;
	aumacedonio@neh.gov; Doyle, Solis A. EOP/WHOEx. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; Jaafar, Lila
	[ljaafar@peacecorps.gov]; Griffin, Antwaun D. [Antwaun.Griffin@sba.gov]; Hazeen Ashby
	[Hazeen.Ashby@exim.gov]; MARISRG [MARISRG@dni.gov]; Quinn, Susie Perez (HQ-AH000)
	[susie.p.quinn@nasa.gov]; Durante, Dani A. EOP/WHO [
	JonesKC@state.gov; Collins, Michael E. EOP/OVP <u>Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)</u> ; Canning, Chris
	[Chris.Canning@opm.gov]; Blosser, Nik G. EOP/WHO <u>Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)</u> ; Tolliver, Teresa M.
	EOP/WHO [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Wallace, Rachel L. EOP/OMB Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
	Rastegar, Sanam EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) Groum, Matthew E. EOP/WHO
	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ;; Czarniak, Michelle (PO) [Michelle.Czarniak@dfc.gov]; Tanya.Bradsher@va.gov;
	MARISRG [MARISRG@ucia.gov]; Wright, Jessica N [WrightJN@state.gov]; kelly.magsamen@sd.mil;
	laura.schiller@dot.gov; gmaltz@usaid.gov; Van Der Heide Escobar, Jennifer
	[jennifer_vanderheideescobar@ios.doi.gov]; Plews, Arthur G. [Arthur.Plews@sba.gov]; bstone@nsf.gov; Petrie,
	Daniel B (CPA/CA [petriedb@mcc.gov]; dnoble@peacecorps.gov; Matthew.B.Klapper@usdoj.gov;
	davisjl4@state.gov; Lal, Bhavya (HQ-IA000 [bhavya.lal@nasa.gov]; tarak.shah@hq.doe.gov;
	barnes.taylor.a@dol.gov; Smith.mary.p@dol.gov; jmauk@cns.gov; Sheila.nix@ed.gov; christopher.diaz2@va.gov;
	Utech, Dan [Utech.Dan@epa.gov]; brett.prather@gsa.gov; Sean.McCluskie@hhs.gov; joyr@arts.gov;
	kcoates@neh.gov; georgesa@state.gov; didem.nisanci@treasury.gov; zkreager@usaid.gov; msumilas@usaid.gov;
	Katharine.Ferguson@usda.gov; Scott.Frey@ssa.gov; bhavya.lal@gmail.com; Michael.Roth@sba.gov;
	Jenn.C.Jones@hud.gov; mharney@doc.gov; Julia.Siegel@treasury.gov; Anne.Reid@hhs.gov; Kabat, Margaret B.
	[Margaret.Kabat@va.gov]; Panther, Allison (OST) [allison.panther@dot.gov]; Escobar, Analysse R
	[Analysse.R.Escobar@hud.gov]; Bill.Doerrer@treasury.gov; caroline.grey@hq.doe.gov; Chavez, Claudia
	[Claudia.Chavez@ed.gov]; caragon@doc.gov; jason.tengco@opm.gov; Jenn Chang [changj@arts.gov];
	john.bivona@hq.dhs.gov; Robles, Justo - OSEC, Washington, DC [Justo.Robles@usda.gov]; Kristin.Avery@hhs.gov;
	Thompson, Margrette (Maggie) K [margrette Thompson@ios.doi.gov]; Salk, Samuel D [SalkSD@state.gov];
	Scott.Arceneaux@sd.mil; Harriford IV, Willie L. [Willie.HarrifordIV@sba.gov]; Shomari.c.figures@usdoj.gov; Harris,
	Sincere [Harris.Sincere@epa.gov]; Thompson, Phillip M. (HQ-AA000) [phillip.m.thompson@nasa.gov]; Cassady,
	Alison [Cassady.Alison@epa.gov]; laila.elgohary@gsa.gov; koh.daniel@dol.gov; Dinneen, Jacklyn
	[jdinneen2@peacecorps.gov]; Lee-Ashley, Matt G. EOP/CEQ [
	[KARENS7@ucia.gov]; Soldow, David CAPT SD [David.Soldow@sd.mil]; Pierce-Wrobel, Clare (HHS/OS) [Clare.Pierce-
	Wrobel@hhs.gov]; Mason, Julie E. EOP/OVP [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]; Feldmann, Sarah A. EOP/WHO
	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Hansen, Anna K. EOP/OMB Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
	Alfred.Johnson2@treasury.gov; Taylor, Patrice D [Patrice.D.Taylor@hud.gov]; Harrigan, Thamar
	[tharrigan@ustda.gov]; Hackett, Jacqueline E. EOP/ONDCP: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]; Hubbard, Ryar
	(HQ-AA000) [ryan.hubbard@nasa.gov]; Murray, Tara EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
	jennifer.higgins@hq.dhs.gov; Murphy, Kyle (PO) [Kyle.Murphy@dfc.gov]; Sara Jordan [: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
	Costello, John K. EOP/NCD [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) : Lopez, Shelby [slopez@neh.gov]; Citron, Jamie R.
	EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) Taylor, Rachael S [rachael_taylor@ios.doi.gov];
	phillip.thompson@hq.dhs.gov; Krejsa, Harry H. EOP/NCD [
	Talia.Rubin@treasury.gov; Rosenfield, Amy S. EOP/WHO <b>Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)</b> ; Rosenfield, Amy
	(Federal) [ARosenfield1@doc.gov]; Brocato, Rebecca (OCE) [Helen.Brocato@dfc.gov];
	Kristie.Canegallo@hq.DHS.gov.; Kim, Philip [philip.kim@ed.gov]; KRISTIE.CANEGALLO@hq.dhs.gov; Petrelius, Katie
	EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Eichner, Stacy L. EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

	angela.ramirez@hhs.gov; Rodriguez, Julie C. EOP/WHO; Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]; Myricks, Shannon [Shannon.Myricks@ed.gov]; Tufro, Paula G (OCEO/OCEO-FO) [tufroPG@mcc.gov]; Reyes, Lauren - OSEC [Reyes.Lauren@dol.gov]; Davis, Christopher [christopher.davis@hq.doe.gov]; Maher, Sophie C. EOP/WHO  Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]; Aditi.Hardikar@treasury.gov; Craig.Radcliffe@treasury.gov; Doyle, Solis (Federal) [SDoyle@doc.gov]; Raylene Yung - ADA [raylene.yung@gsa.gov]; REZMOVIC, JEFFREY [jeffrey.rezmovic@hq.dhs.gov]; Rebecca Webb [Rebecca.Webb@exim.gov]; Hornbacher, Lucia C [HornbacherLC@state.gov]; Esparza, Jennifer [Jennifer.Esparza@va.gov]; Elias, Maureen M. (she/her/hers) [Maureen.Elias@va.gov]; Hochman, Michael C. EOP/NCD [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]; Lance, Ginna L. EOP/USTR [ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]
Subject: Location:	Dobbs - Agency COS/WHL call  Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Start: End: Show Time A	6/24/2022 8:00:00 PM 6/24/2022 8:30:00 PM s: Tentative
Recurrence:	(none)
· Solicitory anni vale	
	Hi there,
	Thomas Isen is inviting you to a scheduled ZoomGov meeting.
	Join Zoom Meeting
	One tap US: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)  mobile: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
	Meeting 년 Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) URL:
	Meeting Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ID: Passcode: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
	Join by Telephone
	For higher quality, dial a number based on your current location. Dial:
	US: ◀ Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
	Meeting Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Passcode: (Ex. EPassous Provacy (PP))				
International numbers				
Join fro	m an H.323/SIP room system			
H.323:	Ex. 6			
Meeting ID:	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)			
Passcode	C. D. Personal Privacy (PP)			
SIP:	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)			

Passcode Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From:	Blosser, Nik G. EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)		
Sent:	6/26/2022 12:33:41 AM DL EOP Cabinet Affairs Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Matthew HIII Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)		
CC:			
Subject:	FW: REQUEST: Upcoming Plans re: Dobbs [Due by Sunday @ Noon]		
Importance:	High		
Chiefs – FYI th	nis was sent to your comms directors earlier this evening.		
Nik Blosser			
	Office of Cabinet Affairs		
The White Ho			
202-881-8202	2 (does not receive texts)		
	att D. EOP/WHO <b>Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)</b>		
	y, June 25, 2022 6:48 PM		
Florence.Lynk	mato@usda.gov; Waters, Kate - OSEC, Washington, DC <kate.waters@usda.gov>; SKulkarni@cns.gov; @cms.hhs.gov; CLegacki@doc.gov; Caplin, Brittany (Detailee) <bcaplin1@doc.gov>; Ridgeway, Charissee</bcaplin1@doc.gov></kate.waters@usda.gov>		
	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) >; carlie.s.waibel.civ@mail.mil; sabrina.singh.civ@mail.mil;		
	as@ed.gov; Kelly.S.Leon@ed.gov; david.mayorga@hq.doe.gov; Troiano, Charisma L		
	piano@hq.doe.gov>; hamilton.lindsay@epa.gov; Michalos.Maria@epa.gov; channing.grate@gsa.gov;		
	eim@hhs.gov; Jones, Kamara (HHS/ASPA) <kamara.jones@hhs.gov>; marsha.espinosa@hq.dhs.gov; ns@hud.gov; melissa_schwartz@ios.doi.gov; Anthony.D.Coley@usdoj.gov; Dena.I.DeBonis@usdoj.gov;</kamara.jones@hhs.gov>		
	zabeth@dol.gov; Friedlander, Rob S. EOP/OMB {		
FOD/ONDCD	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Scully, Kelly A. EOP/ONDCP { Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) }; Qualls, Ellen S.		
FOP/OSTP [""	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Caroline.Ciccone@opm.gov; Shelby.Wagenseller@opm.gov;		
	e.gov; AllenEM@state.gov; RussoWM@state.gov; dani.simons@dot.gov; Halle, Benjamin (OST)		
	ille@dot.gov>; Lily.Adams@treasury.gov; Michael.Gwin@treasury.gov; Hodge, Adam R. EOP/USTR		
	nal Privacy (PP) ; Marshall, Kamau M. EOP/USTR < Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Michel, Samuel B.		
EOP/USTR	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP); Bryant, Melissa (she/her/hers) <melissa.bryant6@va.gov>;</melissa.bryant6@va.gov>		
	aid.gov; rchalif@usaid.gov; Terrence.Clark@sba.gov; Christina.Carr@sba.gov; Han.Nguyen@sba.gov;		
	@nasa.gov; fhalaiko@peacecorps.gov; Quartell, Melissa L (USUN New York) <quartellml@state.gov></quartellml@state.gov>		
Cc: Blosser, N	ik G. EOP/WHO Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) >; Isen, Thomas M. EOP/WHO		
Ex. 6 Persona	al Privacy (PP) >; Finkelstein, Jordan G. EOP/WHO <b>Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)</b> >; Meagher, Chris		
	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ; Phadke, Shilpa D. EOP/WHO { Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) >;		
Hill, Matt D. E	OP/WHO < Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)		
Subject: REQU	JEST: Upcoming Plans re: Dobbs [Due by Sunday @ Noon]		
Importance: I	⊣igh		
Hi evervone –	the White House is compiling a two week lookahead coming out of yesterday's Dobbs ruling. We want to		
•	ctions, policies, briefings, or other plans from your agency.		
Can you use t	the template below and send relevant items to the CC line here by 12:00 PM tomorrow/Sunday, June 26?		
We do not ne	ed all details by then, just a brief description like the examples below.		
We understar	nd this is a tight turnaround on the weekend and appreciate your support.		
Please reach o	out with any questions or flags.		
Thank you,			
Matt & team	Vlatt & team		

# [Agency] Post-Dobbs Lookahead

# **THIS WEEK**

- Sunday, June 26<sup>th</sup>:
- Monday, June 27<sup>th</sup>:
  - o OPM Release of federal workforce guidance/regulations
  - o Comms Anita/Neera briefing influencers on path forward
- Tuesday, June 28<sup>th</sup>:
- Wednesday, June 29<sup>th</sup>:
- Thursday, June 30<sup>th</sup>:
- Friday, July 1<sup>st</sup>:
- Saturday, July 2<sup>nd</sup>:

# **NEXT WEEK**

- Sunday, July 3<sup>rd</sup>:
- Monday, July 4<sup>th</sup>:
- Tuesday, July 5<sup>th</sup>:
- Wednesday, July 6<sup>th</sup>:
- Thursday, July 7<sup>th</sup>:
- Friday, July 8<sup>th</sup>:
- Saturday, July 9<sup>th</sup>:

#### Matt Hill

Senior Associate Communications Director The White House 202-881-8854 @MattHill46 Matthew.D.Hill@who.eop.gov